| Case 1:04-cv-01565-SLR | Document 135-3 | Filed 05/04/2007 | Page 2 of 69 | |
|---|--|--|---|--------------------|
| \$ 167 81 117 28 1 | 523500 118911 119911 11900 906 95 196 75 | 86.583 \$6 OHO | | LS 111 VENDOR TEST |
| CHC BALANCE | | \$6 \$2.5 \$2.5 \$2.5 \$2.5 \$2.5 \$2.5 \$2.5 \$2.5 | | VENDOR 11 |
| GI BALANCE | | | | |
| 20 25421 254 | 61231 61 | 9 1501 16457 16457 16457 16457 16457 16457 16457 16557 1 | 89062 8215 89062 8218 75200 8218 75200 8718 75200 8718 75200 8718 75200 8718 75200 9718 75200 9718 7520 | |
| 8 t + 2 2 2 2 4 - 4 8 5 t 4 3 5 5 + + + + 9 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 | :== \$65598885855555595646 | 문꽃걸Q도활도입도도도===로드움르용르르 | \$ | |
| CITY PRODUCY RICHALOGOOV SANTA AND CONTROLLED ALLIAN MINNELPOUS WINNELPOUS WINNELP | THE KITOU THE KITOU THE KITOU THANTA MYST DIENNIN MYST DI | MARRIE MAN HALLS | HACKEND HACKEN | |
| . ************************************ | | | | |
| SUITE 1100. BOX 11 | | | | 89 & W |
| 2 | NION . | | | |
| Addres SUITE #212 P O FOX 1450 BOO 53FD AVE NE 200 KING STREET WEST PO BOX 20566 | COMMERCE COURT POSTAL STATION 3801 NORTH WAIN AVENUE 2001 VAIL AVENUE | 3955 DAGGETT STREET BUILDNG #9 BUILD K. SUITE 2 ATTH DAVE WAGNER | P O BOX 78215 FAVAENT PROCESSING CENTER SHIFE 230 P O BOX 3359 P O BOX 3359 S TA LINION AVE EXT | |
| SUITE #21.2 P O ROX 1450 B00 538D AVE HE Z00 KING STREET | COMMÉRCE COURT 3801 NORTH MAIN 2001 VAÑ AVENUÉ | SHE ADD ELLE ADD ATTE 2 SUITE 250 SUITE 250 | P O BOX 78215 PAVMENT PROCESSIN SUITE 230 P O BOX 9359 P O BOX 9359 P O BOX 9359 2714 UNION AVE EXT | |
| Addresss SUITE 407 PRIVE #136 VC | 10E | er e | 0 CE 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 | |
| Address MAGREE RIVER BOAD, SUITE 407 PO BOX 110813 3941 SOUTH BRISTOL (1991 E #136 1952 TAYLOR DE REAL AND A TO DEEL RADCE (1991 E #136 1953 CHANNER STRIN LOW BLVD 1953 AUSTIN LOW BLVD NO FOX 55 1056 PO FOX 55 1056 | 4.09 NW 91 H AVENUE 222 HERMITAGE AVENUE 9.0 (SOX 101536 9.0 (| 4111 PARRAMACH 360 MERR ROAD 360 MERR ROAD 360 MERR ROAD 360 MERRAMACH 3 | AVARENT BOOK 255 MG CENTER PO 000 7 100 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2 | |
| | | | | |
| Vender WEDSTAT SYSTEMS WED TEAN HOUSE AS STATING WED TECH WHOLE AS STATING WED TECH WHOLE AS STATING WED TECH WHOLE WED STATING WED TECH WHOLE WED STATING WED TECH WHOLE WED STATING WED TECH WHO WED WED STATING WED TO WED | MERCER STREET FRENDS CARE MERCER STREET FRENDS CARE MERCER HUMAN HEATH HOW AT ANY TANK MERCER HOME CARE MERCER HOME HAT HOW HEATH HOW HEATH HOW HEATH HOW HEATH HOW HEATH HOW HOW HOW HOW HOW HOW HOW HOW HOW HO | ALCENTER THOSPICE THOSPICE THOSPICE CC CC CC CC CT THOSPICE THOSPI | DICAL LAGGATOR SEGONALL INC. 187E SEGONALL INC. 187E SEGONALL INC. 187E DISTRICT 187E DISTRICT 187E DISTRICT 187E DISTRICT 187E SEGONAL | 8/8/00 8 59 4M |
| WEDSTALSYFENS WED TEAM HOW CARE A STAFFING WED TEAM HOW CARE WHO THE STAFFING WED TO THE PERSONNEL SEPACES WED TO THE PERSONNEL SEPACES WED TO THE DELESTING WED THOUGH TO THE DELESTING WED THOUGH TO THE DELESTING WED THOUGH TO THE | WERGE STORET IN WEIGHT IN WEIGHT IN WEIGHT IN WEIGHT IN WEIGHT IN WEIGHT IN WE | MERRIA GRONG CENTER MERRIA GRONG CENTER MERRIA GRONG CENTER MERRIA GRONG CENTER MERRIA GRONG COLOR TO | METOPALL METOPALL METOPALL METOPOLLIN METOLAL LAGORICOR METOPOLLIN METOLAL LAGORICOR METOPOLLIN METOTATE DESTRUC METOTATE DESTRUCT METOTATE | ě |

| Case 1:04-cv-01565-SLR | Document 135-3 | Filed 05/04/2007 | Page 3 of 69 | |
|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------|
| Palances LeeEnt | 25 655 66 1 578 72 1 28 549 84 3 479 60 65 60 96 550 96 550 96 550 97 55 54 745 34 | 126 00 126 00 | 38336 CHG 38336 CHG 362267 57 CHG 13330 00 1771 59 CHG CHG CHG CHG CHG CHG CHG CHG CHG CHG | VENDOR TILS 11 VENDOR, TEST |
| CHC BALANCE | | | 387360 30.24542 1,715.99 | VENDOI |
| CI BALANCE. | | | | |
| 28 1311.2 1311.2 1311.2 1310.1 | 12001 | 4679 (199 (199 (199 (199 (199 (199 (199 (1 | 90054 0259 9134 2 5543 2 5543 2 5541 3 1931 2 1931 2 1931 2 1931 116 1930 1779 1930 1779 1930 1779 1930 1779 1930 1779 1930 1779 1930 1779 1930 1779 1930 1779 1930 1779 | |
| X 4 8 4 3 8 8 3 3 8 8 3 3 5 2 3 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 2 5 2 5 8 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 | ··· ********************************** | Z Z Z S Z S S S S Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z | \$ | |
| CIN WEMPHIS COULDINGS COULDINGS FANK HILLS COULDINGS FANK HILLS FANK HI | POUGHIKEEPSIE PO | OWALLSKA OWALLSKA OWALLSKA SIGNSWITE | SYLMAN | |
| Address | | | KUITE 1680 LITH SANDY ZIBELMAN | |
| | | | SUITE 1680 | 37.01.69 |
| Address 2 | | | | |
| 4695 4414 ST SE 4695 4414 ST SE 4224 AVENUE H PO BOX 5540 PO BOX 2840 SUITE #1 PO BOX 4998 | # 350 SUITE 201 17.11 S. UNIVERSITY DRIVE | PO BOX 88036 P O BOX 61000 DC PT 2736 | SUITE ATO SOURCE AND SUITE AND SUITE AND SUITE AND SUITE AND SUITE AND ATTH SURVEY THE MAN ATTH ALTHOUS THE AND PO BOY 911001 ATTH JOEL SULLIVAN AND CELESS ATTH JOEL SULLIVAN | |
| Address Addres | 1087 WILE DRAWCE, 1087 WILE FOR THE TO TOO WINGSTER MY 2300 TOURTH ANKESTER MY 2300 TOURTH ANKESTER MY 2300 SAGNAWAR TOOD TOURTH ANKE RAST 1000 SAGNAWAR TOOD TOURTH ANKE RAST 1000 SAGNAWAR TOOD TOURTH ANKE RAST 1000 SAGNAWAR TOOD TOURTH AND TO TOUR SEE TO TOURTH AND TO TOUR SEE TO TOURTH AND TOUR | PO GOOK 337.4.1. 2700 MIDWEST DEME PO GOOK 2001099 2710 W 127H 51 PO BOOK 3751 431 MORTH MORMANDY 200 MORTH MORMANDY 200 MORTH MORMANDY PO BOOK 357 P | P O 900 4 54209 112744 SAN TEMBARDA ROAD 6600 FAMEL ANG E 6600 FAMEL ANG E 6600 FAMEL ANG E 1053 WEST INTRECHARGE FOWER 1055 WEST INTRECHARGE FOWER 1055 WEST INTRECHARGE SERVICES 1055 WEST INTRECHARGE FOWER 1055 WEST INTRECHARGE 1050 WEST I | |
| Venden Wersten utam Wersten | TE WINGST COUNTRY, INC. TEN WINGST WI | The process may be processed by the proc | TO THE MAY CITE AT THE MAY CIT | M4 PC 6 00/8/6 |

| Case 1:04-cv-01565-SLR | Filed 05/04/2007 Page 6 of 69 |
|--|--|
| 2.271.86 2.288.23 2.2 | 1,839.30 CHC CHC CHC CHC CHC CHC CHC CHC CHC CH |
| CHC BALANCE 170 87 | r BOUNTY |
| CI BALANCE | |
| 7.10 7.10 7.10 7.10 7.10 7.10 7.10 7.10 | 11223 11223 11525-5206 11525-5206 11670-5206 |
| ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ |
| TAMITA TAMITA | BROOKLYN BROOKLYN BROOKLYN UNIONDAE WARN WARN WARN WARN WARN WARN WARN WARN |
| учения в предоставления | |
| . O 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | \$ 5 g |
| | |
| Address F HANDS ANTHUE | RDS DRIVE |
| SUITE 100 SUITE 100 SUITE 119 SUITE 119 SUITE 119 SUITE 119 SUITE 119 SUITE 119 SUITE 4119 SUITE 4119 SUITE 420 P O BOX 8626 SUITE 420 SUITE 420 150 ANSTEROAM AVENUE 150 ANSTEROAM AVENUE | P O BOX 534 P O BOX 6526 BUDE PI OOA SUITE 108 P O BOX 71 0799 P O BOX 4798 P O |
| Address 110 thinwoods RIVD 120 thinwess Transport 1 | 1890 wCOONALD ARENE 1890 wCOONALD ARENE C |
| 13100 HP 14300 HP 143 | 1890 WCDOWNER 18 |
| TO THE TELESTICATION AS AN AS | FINCES SERVICES SERVI |
| WITERIN HEAT TOWNS IN THE THE HEAT THOUSE IN THE THEAT T | VORK HEALTH CARE, INC VORK THALTH CARE, INC VORK THALS THE MODER HEALTH CARE, INC VORK THALS THE MODER HUGST STEP MODER HUGST |

| Case 1:04-cv-01565-SLR | Document 135-3 | Filed 05/04/2007 | Page 8 of 69 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 166.69 5.9 17.00.00 6.9 17.00.00 6.9 6.93 6.0 17.50.77 C.C. 17.50.20 C.C. 18.50.20 C.C. 18.50.20 C.C. 18.50.20 C.C. 17.50.20 C.C. 18.50.20 C.C. 17.50.20 C.C | ວ ່ອ ຮ້ | 243 52 716 80 627 20 1455 00 23 92 109 61 | 266 10 281 38 135 44 1 1647 24 1 484 61 |
| V2 288 6 | | | |
| 1,780 77 | | | |
| 9.75% 0.84% | 84 120 0239 84 120 | 10000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 34677 12208 11210 11210 11210 5627 6727 6727 6727 6727 6727 6727 6727 |
| | \$55 88 555 8 58 8 \$\$\$\$28 \$ \$\$ | ge2222222222244444444444444444444444444 | ~ * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * |
| INDIVATION OF THE PROPERTY OF | SALT LANG COTY SALT LANG COTY LOSS ANGELES LOSS ANGELES SALT LANG COTY SALT LANG | MATEGORM SALT LAKE CITY SALT LAKE CI | OLOSANA MONORAL MASKAVINA ALBANYA ALBANYA BROOKLYN BROOKL |
| | | | |
| | PO 60X 30292 | | SUITE #10 |
| | | | ER CK ROND 'H CARE, INC |
| SUITE 200 4TH FLOOR 4TH FLOOR 8LOG A SUITE 3 P O BOX 359 ORAWER CS100352 SLUIE 100 SLUIE 100 | DEPT 56 1489720009 DEPT 56 1489720009 P 0 80X 30292 P 0 80X 30292 P 0 80X 30292 P 0 80X 30399 BUILDING 40. SUITE 920 P 0 80X 418 P 0 80X 418 P 0 80X 118 SUITE 1100 | P O BOX 10232 P O BOX 10232 P O BOX 10232 P O BOX 20232 P O BOX 20232 P O BOX 60000 P O BOX 60000 | ALBANY MEDICAL CENTER 1125 SOUTH LITTLE ROCK ROA KIMBERLY HOME HEALTH CARR P O BOX 7777 |
| NAVENUE SIRET SIREET SI | DD DR PARKWAY 1.0 SUPPORT DIV | 13 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | D. D |
| 7155, wudsworth way 7155, wudsworth way 160, 160 | CREDIT LAN- CREDIT PLAN- FILE 841901 FILE 841901 FILE 941901 FILE | 1059 67 July 44 WHU LE STAND 1159 68 JULY 45 J | 19 0 00K 00 19 0 WL HOLD 25 1 4 WW HEROR PARA 27 1 4 WW HEROR PARA 27 1 4 WW HEROR PARA 27 1 WOST PARAD AVE 28 1 W W SOLI PARAD AVE 28 2 W W SOLI PARAD AVE 28 2 W W SOLI PARAD AVE 29 2 W W SOLI PARAD AVE 20 2 W W SOLI PARAD AVE 20 3 W W SOLI PARAD AVE 20 3 W W SOLI PARAD AVE 20 3 W W SOLI PARAD AVE 20 4 W W SOLI PARAD AVE 20 5 W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W |
| COMPAN CO | в 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 | PANY | ž ý ne |
| THE PART AND TO THE PART AND TH | EDETOTI CENTRAL CONTROLLED CONTRO | SOURCE AND SOURCES OF | AND TO THE STATE OF THE STATE O |

| Case 1:04-cv-01565-SLR | Document 135-3 | Filed 05/04/2007 | Page 18 of 69 | |
|--|--|--|--|----------------------------|
| Balancs Circles 1,620 00 1,620 00 1,620 00 1,620 00 1,730 | 110 00 01 1,079 52 867 33 867 34 86 130 08 6 130 08 6 130 08 6 130 08 6 130 08 6 130 08 7 10 08 7 10 08 1 08 1 | 3979 80 1379 80 1379 80 1379 80 1379 80 1379 80 | 27.77.55 CI | ENDOR TILS HI VENDOR, TEST |
| 9.346.87 26.000.00 | | 28 777 62 | | VENDOR |
| ט איריאכנ ט | | 3,978.80 | 13.17.15 | |
| Zia 19370 4150 1010 4150 1010 1010 1150 1010 1010 1150 1010 1150 1 | 60018 6402 60010 6631.984 60513.984 60513.984 6051.984 6051.984 6051.984 6051.984 6051.984 6051.984 6051.984 6051.984 6051.984 6051.984 6051.984 6051.984 6051.984 6051.984 | 93711.1399 93711.1399 63301.4400 7033 93914 33904 33904 34802 3480 3480 3480 3480 3480 3480 3480 3480 | 900 24 (90) 900 2 | |
| \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 88 <u>₹</u> Z청도춫춫돛중ጷዿ含홍도도중8885% | გიგ გ≖ომოცგ ⊣მშშშშ⊊≿; | ≠₹ऽ≍≍≍७९८०१8₹≼२३५९°0१₽₹ | |
| CONTESVILE ALTERNATE | GAMINGTON GREELLY G | FRESHO FR | SEATURE SEATURE SEATURE SEATURE SEATURE ALISTIA ALISTIA ALISTIA ALISTIA ALISTIA ALISTIA ALISTIA ALISTIA ALISTIA ALISTIA SEATURE SEATUR | |
| Address | | | | |
| | | | | 85 CP 89 |
| | | | | |
| Address; SUITE TOA SUITE TOA 625 CLEVELAND AVE 625 CLEVELAND AVE 9 0 80X 97 8 UITE A 90 SUITE PUANA 4 FOSTER PUANA 4 | SUITE 540 SUITE | SUITE 21 BOUCHERVILE TECHNOLOGY BUILDING RLT O6 PO BOX \$69 | 193 STA STATE ST PO BOX #4388 DEPT 84 DEPT 84 DEPT 84 DEPT 84 DEPT 84 DEPT 84 DEPT 84 SUIT 510 SUIT 210 P Q ROX 1806S | |
| | | | G DEPT | |
| 3 SCOTT 1 MAN DRIVE AND THE SCOTT 1 MAN TO THE SCOTT 1 MAN | 117 S COOK STREET #100 2424 611 AURUNE 2424 611 AURUNE 2426 611 AURUNE PO BOX 5941 11.1457 N 141 HST FET #100 11.1457 N 141 HST FET #100 11.1457 N 141 HST FET #100 11.1457 N 141 HST FET HORTH 605 145 PO BOX 156 11.11 FETSON PD 11.11 FETSO | 6760 W WEST AVE 8102 712 FORM WEST AVE 8102 712 FORM WEST AVE 8102 713 FORM WEST AVE 8102 714 FORM WEST AVE 8102 715 FORM WEST AVE 8102 7 | SUCHINIA ALANI INANCHI ENTERPRISE SUCHINIA ALANI INANCHI ENTERPRISE 1029-9-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8 | |
| PONAL, P.F. SCOTT PONAL, P.F. | | IRIT SYSTEMS | I'VE MSUBANCE CO MS SEE STORAGE #12 FERS CO. NIC FERS FERS FERS FERS FERS FERS FERS FERS | 54 A 59 A 44 |
| 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | RES MEGERS RES MEGERS RES MEGERS RES MEGERS RES MEGERS RES MEGERS REPROPORTED RESULTING RESULT RESULTING RESULT RESUL | M PRI N M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M | SAFEGOL SAFEGOL SAFEGOL SAFEGOL SAN 17 C SAN 17 | |

| tapua | Address | Address2 | Address | ž | 5 | 2000 100 10 | 5000 500 COC | | 1 |
|---|---|----------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|
| | P O BOX 297307 | | V. Ca | | 372 54 | 29 2307 | | | |
| RN CALLGRAIA EDISON COMPANY BN CALLEORIIA EDISON INT | P 0 BOX 600 B 0 BOX 600 | | | OSEMEAD | | 10001 | | 396 93 | |
| | POBOXC | | A AO | | × 5 | 71:0001 | | | |
| | 5757 WILSHIRE BLVD | | 60 | | * * | 9.9 | | | |
| | SOO PEARL STREET, ROOM 330 | FO BOX 25229 | N.A. | | 8 3 | 9825-5229 | | | |
| | C/O GILSBAR INC. ADMINISTRATOR | PO BOX 790 | S | | 704 | 94.0790 | | | 5 |
| | P O BOX 981041 | | ð. | HARLOTTE N | | = | | 284 73 | |
| | PØ BOX 2005 | | 000 | | | 79998-1041 | | | |
| | 1220, 10201 SOUTHPORT ROAD S W | | 15 | ATESVILLE N | | | | | |
| | P O 80X 97390 | | 3 4 | | | #x9 | | | ō |
| | BOB (NDIANA P.O. BOX 93447 | 80X 357 | OIS. | | | 2 | | | |
| | P O BOX 3025 | | Z H | USTON | 7 X | 7.0043 | | 69 72 | , |
| | P O BOX 4699 | | P | USTON | × 770 | 17.0075 | | | ច |
| | P O ROX 4706 | | | USTON | X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X | 55.0661 | | 4.967 70 | |
| | P O BOX 650502 B O BOX 3036 | | Po | LIAS | X 7526 | 5.0502 | | 687 69 | |
| | P 0 BOX 4842 | | | USTON | X X | 17.0043 | | | |
| ESTERN BELL | P O BOX 4843 | | 9 | USTON | × × | 7.0076 | | | |
| ESTERN BELL | P O BOX 4845 P O BOX 630059 | | Ōi | USTON | 7709 | 7.0080 | | | |
| ESTERN BELL | P O BOX 650443 | | NA NA | ILAS | 7522 | 2:0443 | | | |
| 1136 | P 0 BOX 650661 | | DA | T. T. | 752e | 5:0661 | | | - |
| 1131 | P 0 BOX 940012 | | 140 | (LAS | 7535 7535 | 4.0012 | | | |
| ESTERN BELL | # 0 80x 970003 | | ST | TONIS . | 0 6319 | 7.0003 | | | |
| ESTERN BELL | P O 80x 4699 | | | SIDON | 6319 | 7.0012 | | | |
| ESTERN BELL | P O BOX 4843 | | Õ | USTON | 7709 | 7.0078 | | | |
| ESTERN BELL | P O BOX 650661 | | H.O. | TAS T | 7526 | 5.0661 | | | |
| | PO BOX 4699 | | T C | LAS | | 4.0012 | | | |
| ESTERN BELL | PO BOX 4706 | | Š | USTON | | 0.4706 | | | |
| | PO BOX 630059 PO ROX 430170 | | DAL | t. | | 3.0059 | | | |
| ESTERN BELL | PO BOX 970003 | | 100 | 2 3 | | 3.0170 | | | |
| | WIRELESS | PO BOX 4460 | ğ | USTON | | 7.0082 | | | |
| | WHELESS PORCY 970003 | PO BOX 970012 | 115 | Sino1 | | 7.0012 | | | |
| | PO BOX 4460 | | or so | Tours E | | 7,0003 | | | |
| | PO BOX 970012 | | 18 | (ous | | 7.0012 | | | |
| | 120 S WARKET SUITE 300 | | WIG | HITA | | 2 | | | |
| | P O BOX 75/239 | SINTEEN REICHOM SINEE | S 34 | STPORT | | 0.5908 | | 216 55 | |
| ULDING MAINTENANCE COM | P O BOX 790051 | | 178 | TOOLS FINE | | 9.0051 | | | |
| | P 0 80X 7126 1455 F GBFG ST | MCKESSON WATER PRODUCTS CO | PAS | ADENA CL | | 9.7126 | | | |
| | P O BOX 3428 | | 107 | ANSON CITY 19 | | 2.3428 | | | |
| DELIVERY SERVICES | PO 80X 151285 | | SNY | | | 78715 | | | |
| | PO BOX 3347 | ATTN: PHARMACY | | DGEPORT CI | | 13347 | | 1.591 19 | |
| | P 0 BOX 218 | | HAN | | | | | 60 75 | |
| | 125 N EXECUTIVE DRIVE | 500 | DEF | WER CO | | 6 | | | |
| JM BUSINESS CENTERS | 18102 SKY PARK SUITE G | | HRVI | | | 5-6012 | | | |
| | 125 N EXECUTIVE DRIVE | SUITE 300 | 980 | OOKFIELD WI | | 5.6012 | | | |
| | 3230 EAST CHARLESTON | #10# | NIM - | | | m - | | 675 00 | |
| | P O BOX 1213. DEPT 871 | | NEW | | . 0 | 11213 | | | |
| | 260) BLUE RIDGE NO. SUITE 2 P.O. ROX 35907 | | RAL | | ~ (| | | | |
| JM DUALITY PRODUCTS, INC. | (SPECTRUM CHEM MFG CORP) | FILE NO. 11990 | SOI | ANGELES | , & | 00741990 | | | |
| | ATTN: LINDA HANDLOGIEN P.O. BOX 1635 | TOO MICHIGAN ST. N.E. | GRA | | ** | , | | | |
| | 27 MAPLE STREET | | WIF | | ,,, | 73650 | | | |
| | 12831 MONROE | 1971 | SOI | ANGELES CA | 5 - 4 | 2.0077 | | - | |
| | 1660 S STAPLES | | FCC | _ | 7840 | | | | |
| | 77 E FORT STREET 77 E FORT STREET | P O BOX 207 | FAR | MINGTON | 6153 | | | . ; | |
| | 600 CHESTNUT STREET | (53 v)g 0 | 2. C. W | z | | 6 | | 375 00 | |
| | P O BOX 10843 | | OES | | • • | 5.0843 | | 127 99 | |
| | PO BOX 2200 | | BED | Y | | 9.2200 | 643 10 | 64310 CF | ç |
| | FU BOX 79133 P O ROX 30784 | | PHO | DENIX AZ | • | 15062-9133 | ¥091 | | ខ្ |
| | P O BOX 650270 | | par | TX. | | 5.0270 | | | ဥပ္ |
| | P O BOX 95028 | | OHG CHO | SENIX AZ | 9806 | 2.8931 5.0028 | • | ė č | ត្ |
| | P 0 BOX 530503 | | יונא | ANTA | 3035: | 3.0503 | | | ψ |
| | PO BOX 30723 PO BOX 30784 | | MAT | € 5 | 33630 | 5.3723 | | 61 | ខ្ម |
| | PO BOX 530503 | | ATU | ANTA | 3035. | 10503 | | | ပ္ ပ္ |
| | PØ 80X 62071 PØ 80X 79125 | | BAC | TIMORE ME | 2126 | 1.2071 | | 55 | ē. |
| | PO BOX 79260 PO BOX 805056 | | TIO NAME | OF INDUSTRY CA | 3216 | 59260 | | | ့် မှု ပု |
| | PO BON 96064 | | CHA | RLOTTE NO | 28296 | 5 0064 | | 5 5 | င့် င့ |
| | | | | | | | | | , |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Case 1:04-cv-01565-SLR | Document 135-3 | Filed 05/04/2007 | Page 23 of 69 | |
|---|--|--|--|--------------------|
| 2000 CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC | 20 613 60 480 00 341 26 | 1111 111 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 | 539 40 C2 C2 C3 C3 C4 | E ELE VENDOR, TEST |
| 33 27 | | | | VENDOR TELS |
| CI BALANCE | | | | - |
| Zip 24974 (2219 24977 (2219 24977 (2219 24977 (2219) 24977 (2219) | 64466 6673 1980 1980 6660 1980 6660 1980 1980 1980 1980 1980 1980 1980 198 | 1,000 1,100 | 19116 66040 56470 56470 59107-8200 99107-8200 1042 1042 1042 1042 1043 1043 1043 1043 1043 1043 1043 1043 | |
| 도 암 독 공 공 공 유 유 유 유 유 유 유 유 유 유 유 유 유 유 유 유 | B # 4 8 8 8 4 7 8 5 6 6 7 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 | : *** ** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** ** | 4 | |
| E C LI WE TAKE O THE | _ | | ATT. ANSWER BIRE OF | |
| SPARTMRE SPARTMRE SPARTMRE SPARTMRE OVERLOW OVERLOW ST LOVIN WHI SPARTMRE PHOLE TO PHOLE TO P | WARNVILE WEST POINT WEST POINT WENGSTOR WINNIGSTOR WINNIGSTOR WINNIGSTOR WINNIGSTOR WINNIGSTOR WARN JACKSON JACKSON JACKSON JACKSON JACKSON ANDERSON ANDERSO | ELMASSHELD FORT WAYNE ST COUNS | PHILAGE THE PARK MONDES PARK MANDES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LAUDERAL PHILAGE HA PHILAGE HA | |
| E1140P | • | | | |
| • | O BOX 428 F O BOX 428 P O BOX 67000 | SUITE 20 | O BOX 3320d | 97 04 64 |
| | a a _ a _ p | () | | |
| E : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : | BIE BUCD NE | | | |
| SUITE 300 AITH ACCTG DEPT LYNN 1601 SE COURT AVENUE PO BOX 46 SALES IAN DEPARTMENT 595 S 70 ST CHOSPICE) SUITE 208 | 131 COLUMBUS INNER BELT PO BOX 1381 PO BOX 1381 FO BOX 1385 ADD 311 R. ST. ADD 312 R. DAD 322 W. HOUGHET TO ROPE SECTIONITY PROJ. J. SEG. TOOLIYY PROJ. J. SEG. TOOLIYY PROJ. S. SEG. SEG | TOO BROADWAY ST MEDICAL LIBRARY P O BOX 938409 407 E ST | AND HEALTH CENTER SUITE 346 BOX 196539 FOR 196539 FOR 196539 BOX 196539 BOX 196730 | |
| SUITE 300 ATTN ACCIT 1601 SE COID 1601 SE C | 131 CO) P 0 BOX P 0 BOX SUITE 2 400 451 P 0 BOX P 0 BO | 700 BROAD MEDICAL LIE P 0 BOX 995 444 HORIOS 744 HORIOS 1027 WASH 201 WEST 8 501 WEST 8 1601 W TAY | AND HEALTH CI SUITE 340 BOY 199539 BOY 199539 BOY 199530 BUILDING 200 | |
| HEVARD WEST ELVD | 77 VO VD VI | RK 557 E/SUSIE | . • | |
| PO BOX 740219 120 HEYWOOD AVENUE PO BOX 2950 PO BOX 3950 PO BOX 3550 PO BOX 35 | 4.00 Month Hower Care of Harvey Harve | SEE LAMREE STREET SEES LAMREE STREET STOREPHS AVE TO GLARK AVE P O GOVERSPORT STORE EANHOOK 190 E BANHOOK 190 E BA | 1500 COUNT HT 18 FEET TO COUNT HT 18 FEET TO COUNTRY SOURE LANE 25 FEET TO COUNTRY SOURE LANE 25 FEET TO COUNTRY SOUR FEET TO COUNTRY SOUR FEET TO COUNTRY SOUR FEET TO COUNTRY SOUR FEET TO F | |
| 70 66 70 70 70 66 70 70 66 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 | MARTINGSON | 1115 TORREST MARKET IN THE STREET OF | 5000 EGGO ELGGO EL | |
| Vendon VIC NIER HIC NIVE NI BOARD OR BOA | ر البرادة على البرادة على البرادة الب | 0 N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N | | |
| SPINCT DCS SPINCT | ST FRANCES HOUSE FEEL IT CARE ST FRANCES HOUSE FEEL IT CARE ST FRANCES HOSSIFILE ST FRANCES HOSSIFILE ST FRANCES HOSSIFILE ST FRANCES HOSSIFILE ST FRANCES WEIGHT AND WEIGHT ST FRANCES WEIGHT EEVIER ST FRANCES WEIGHT EEVIER ST FRANCES WAVIER HOUSE FEEL IT ST FRANCES WAVIER HOUSE FEEL IT ST FORM HOW WEIGHT IT ST FORM HOW | WANN WIREHOUS SOUTH WITH WEIGHT AND STATEMENT OF THE STAT | ST JOHN'S NOWE HEAT HI CARE ST JONES NOWE HEAT HI CARE ST VINIS WAT COMPANY, INC ST VINIS WAT COMPANY, INC ST VINIS WAT COMPANY, INC STATE GUILDERS STATE STATE GUILDERS STATE GUILDERS STATE STATE GUILDERS STATE STATE G | B/8/00 6 94 AM |
| SEMINI PCS SEMICHOME CARE SEMICHOME CARE SEMICHOME CARE SEMICHOME CARE SEMICHOME SEMIC | ST FRANCIS ST FRANCIS | 1 JOSEPHS 11 JOSEPHS 12 JOSEPHS 12 JOSEPHS 12 JOSEPHS 12 JOSEPHS 12 JOSEPHS 13 JOSEPHS 13 JOSEPHS 14 JOSEPHS 14 JOSEPHS 15 JOSEPHS 16 JOSEPHS 17 JOSEPHS 18 JOSEPHS 1 | ST JONES 4: ST JONES 4: ST JONES 4: STACT COPPAR STACT BUILDE STATE | |

| (40 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C | |
|--|---|
| 84 13 59 5 1 13 59 5 1 13 59 5 1 13 59 5 1 13 59 5 1 13 59 5 1 13 5 13 5 | 340 00 11 181 30 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 |
| פאנ פעדדאכנ | |
| 13.582 69 | |
| 2,123,6 4,0020 4,0020 4,0020 4,0020 4,0020 4,0020 4,0020 4,0020 1,110 1,174,1911 1,174,1 | 60000000000000000000000000000000000000 |
| 8 8 9 1 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 | \$25\$ |
| BALTALORE MICHANICE MICHAN | COLUMBIA MOUNT POSPECT MILWALKIE BALTIMORE BICHHOND RICHHOND RICHHOND RICHHOND RICHHOND RICHHOND RICHHOND RICHHOND BICHHOND BITHEOR SANTA MARK ORNHO MARKA ORNHO M |
| Address 3 | |
| | |
| 71115 | |
| Adda ATTH MS LINDA SUTTON PO BOX 128 1123 TROY SCHENECTADY BD 1123 TROY SCHENECTADY BD 7043 SOUTH 300 WEST PO BOX 85080 181 WEST MEADOW OR 181 WEST MEADOW OR 181 WEST MEADOW OR 1929 NORTH ST FRANCIS 929 NORTH ST FRANCIS | 5031 SE INA ACCT: 6428602668 BOX 1007 SUITE 400. SUITE 8 STE 102 |
| | |
| MOD CORPODATE DRIVERS SUIT MOD STATE AND STREET MOD STATE AND ST | 1042-02/UNE MALL 105-02/UNE LIAR ROOM STREET WOODS SOUTH FLAR ROOM STREET PO BOX 75543 PO BOX 75543 PO BOX 75543 PO BOX 75543 PO BOX 75544 PO BOX 75 |
| 100 CORM 100 | 104 COLORO 104 COLORO 104 COLORO 104 COLORO 105 COLORO |
| 14-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7 | . 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. |
| Windowski 1958 He is the college of | AGE OF WORK PROSPECT CON WORK P |

| Case 1:04-cv-01565-SLR | Document 135-3 | Filed 05/04/2007 | Page 33 of 69 |
|--|--|--|--|
| Balance & Lwefm 265 50 CHC CHC CHC CHC CHC CHC CHC CHC CHC CH | | 2.174.28 CHC 3.422.28 246.48 225.00 3325.00 533.86 792.79 | 99117 925 00 414 4 271 59 13.207 75 27.34 2 17.4 50 18.600 00 18.600 00 18.6 |
| CHC BALLANCE | | 2174 28 | KTHDO |
| GI BALLANGE | | | |
| Zp 1606 3669 3669 3669 3701 3701 1772 1772 1772 6119 6119 6119 6119 6119 6119 6119 611 | 0.0613 t.035 0.0613 t.035 9.0618 9.0618 9.0618 9.0618 9.0618 9.0618 9.064 9.0 | M21.228 M21.228 M21.228 M21.228 M2006.3462 M | 2861 2861 84107 8410 |
| \$ -4 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 | 5-5 22288285555555555 55555555555555555555 | 58488533888885555988 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 | 525588266698825222 |
| WERCESTER CHANGE CHA | MINGERES WINNERS WERE A COLUMNES AND COLUMNS AND COLUMNS AND COLUMNS AND COLUMNS AND COLUMNS AND COLUMNS AND COLUMN AND C | MORECESTER WENTER WASHINGTON WASHIN WASHINGTON WASHINGTON WASHINGTON WASHINGTON WASHINGTON WA | SAL LAKE CITY SALT LAKE CHARLOSTE STANTOSD STANTOSD STANTOSD STANTOSD STANTOSD STANTOSD STANTOSD STANTOSD STANTOSD MANNAIS MANNAIS MANNAIS SALT LAKE SA |
| Address . | | SUITE 3 FOZ S PARK STREET | |
| SUITE 590 | | SUITE 3 | |
| Address 2 | | | |
| Address 2 STE 344.C 250 H ROCK RD #370 SUMRISE MALL P 0 80X 920/34 9921 KATY FREWAY SUITE #1 224 WEST FORFAGE TRAIL, F 0 BOX 535 276 LEWIS RD SUITE 400 | P O BOX 2697 #800 \$600 \$UITE B3 | 305 MONTGOMERY M110 SUITE A MERITE A MERITE B HOSPITAL STE 212 SP 0 BOX 15 DEPT 250 PO BOX 9 BOX 15012 | PO BOX 616648 SUITE 205 P O BOX 425480 BOX 6190 21 EXECUTIVE BLVD SUITE A INDUSTRIAL PARK |
| Address: 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | F. C. | T. T. SEON BN A OBIVE. A OBIVE | O ROAD FROM TERRALS STORY TO MATERIALS STORY TO THE A |
| 179 BABRIFA AVENUE 170 BABRIFA AVENUE | 20 1399 HET WORK PLACE P. 1391 HET WORK PLACE P. 1392 HET WORK PLACE P. 1392 EAST TERNON MAY PLACE TO THE WORK PLACE STATE WARNED TO THE WARNES STATE WARNES THE STATE TO WORK TO THE WARNES THE SOUTHERS TO RRAWAY THE SOUTHERS TO THE WARNES THE SOUTHERS THE SOUT | N WEDDING TO RESPOND THE STREET SOUR SEPOND THE STREET SOUR SEPARMINES. | 1935 SOUTH REDWOOD ROAD 1938 1938 LEAST GEORGOUTH 1932 LEAST GEORGOUTH 1932 LEAST GEORGOUTH 1932 LEAST GEORGOUTH 1934 LEAST GEORGOUTH 1935 LEAST GEORGOUTH 1935 LEAST GEORGOUTH 1936 LEAST GEORGOUTH 1 |
| | 7.2 | FALERS ASS | |
| WHEELCAMES UNUMITED IN WHEELCAMES UNUMINED IN WHEELS IN CONTROLLED IN WHISTERN OF WHEEL WHISTERN OF WHEEL WHISTERN OF WHISTERN | WILLIAMS COMMUNICATION SGUITON WILLIAMS COMMUNICATION SGUITON WILLIAMS SCORMUNICATION SGUITON WILLIAMS SGUITON WILL | WWINST THE ST ODDING A WHINST THE ST ODDING A WHITE STORE A ST WALL OF ST ODDING A WHITE ST WALCH A ST WA | WORKESS CONFESSATION WORKESS CONFESSATION WORKESS CONFESSATION WORKESS CONFESSATION FUND OF UT WORKES |
| MINIOS SANTIIM MINIOS SANTIIM MINIOS SANTIIM MINIOS SANTIIM DINIOL MINIOM DINION DINIO | WILLIAMS COMMI, WILLIAMS COMMI, WILLIAMS RECORD WILLES WRECKE WILLS WILLS WRESHEDOK HOW WILLSE ASSOCIA WILLSE ASSOCIA WILLSE ASSOCIA WILLSE ASSOCIA WILLSE WILLSE WILLSE WILLS WILLSE WILL | WINTER TERRIF STORM WINTER TERRIF STORM WINTER TERRIF STORM WINTER TERRIF STORM WINTER STORM WIN | WORKERS COME WORKERS COM WERN WERE WORKERS WOR |

FA16 166 CTZ TV1 TG: AT 0187/81/07

US INUSTRES

WIDE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN THE MATTER OF:

Chapter 11

CORAM HEALTHCARE CORP., et al.

Debtors.

Case No. 00-3299 (MFW) through Case No. 00-3300 (MFW)

NOTICE OF APPOINTMENT OF EQUITY COMMITTEE

Pursuant to Section 1102(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, I hereby appoint the following persons to the Committee of Unsecured Creditors in connection with the above captioned case:

- Samstock, L.L.C., Attn: Donald J. Liebentritt, VP, 2 North Riverside Plaza, Suite 600, Chicago, IL 60606, Phone: (312) 466-3651, Fax: (312) 559-1279.
- Ann & Robert Lurie Family Foundation, Inc., Attn: Mark Slezak, CEO and V.P., Two Riverside Plaza, Suite 1500, Chicago, IL 60606, Phone: (312) 466-3900, Fax: (312) 466-3700.
- Richard L. Haydon, c/o RLH Management, 168 Cottage Place, 1114 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036, Phone: (201) 670-0412, Face (212) 265-6639.

PATRICIA A. STAIANO UNITED STATES TRUSTEE

FREDERIC LEAKER

SEMION ASSISTANT UNITED STATES TRUSTED

DATED: October 18, 2000

Attorney assigned to this Case: Richard L. Schepacarter, Esquire, Phone: (215) 597-441, Fax: (215) 597-5795. Debtors' Counsel: Laura D. Jones, Esquire, Phone: (302) 652-4100, Fax: (302) 652-4400

319

DEP. EXH. #

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

| IN RE: |) | Chapter 11 |
|---|---|---|
| CORAM HEALTHCARE CORP. and CORAM, INC., |) | Case Nos. 00-3299 (MFW) and Case No. 00-3300 (MFW) |
| Debtors. |) | Jointly Administered |

OBJECTIONS OF THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF EQUITY SECURITY HOLDERS OF CORAM HEALTHCARE CORP. TO THE JOINT PLAN OF REORGANIZATION PROPOSED BY DEBTORS CORAM HEALTHCARE CORP. AND CORAM, INC.

The Official Committee of Equity Security Holders of Coram Healthcare Corp. (the Equity Committee"), by its attorneys, hereby objects to the confirmation of the Joint Plan of Reorganization proposed by debtors Coram Healthcare Corp. and Coram, Inc. (collectively "Coram") on August 8, 2000 (the "Plan").

SUMMARY OF OBJECTIONS

Coram Healthcare Corp., a healthcare home infusion business with more than 49 million outstanding publicly traded shares, is a financially sound company, whose prospects are extremely bright:

- According to financial forecasts produced by Coram, cash flow from continuing operations (EBITDA) in this year 2000 will be more than \$50 million (excluding an extraordinary \$16.5 million payment Coram wants to pay management);
- Coram has no difficulty in paying debts incurred in operations as they come due, now or in the foreseeable future; the Chapter 11 Plan for which they seek confirmation will not affect these creditors (Disclosure Statement p. 13-14);

420731 1 11/21/00

387

Two days after the filing of these petitions, Daniel Crowley, the chief executive officer of Coram told thousands of listeners:

> "This company is dynamite. We are perfectly positioned to do really big, exciting things." (Crowley teleconference August 10, 2000);

The principal amount due to Coram's Noteholders1 does not mature until May, 2001.

Moreover, Coram's business is not being reorganized. "Reorganized Coram" will continue to operate its business, will retain its existing management, and will assume the existing employment contracts.

Notwithstanding the plain success of its business, Coram asks this Court to confirm a Plan that would wipe out the existing shareholders and award all of the equity - which is believed to have substantial value - to the Noteholders. The only substantive change sought in these reorganization proceedings is to have 100% of the ownership of Coram pass from thousands of shareholders to the three Noteholders.

While such a result is not conceptually impossible in a Chapter 11, it is entirely inappropriate here - and is therefore objected to - for the following reasons:

> First Objection: Coram's enterprise value is greater than the amount of the debt due to all creditors, even assuming the Noteholders have a valid claim for 100% of the amount of the Notes (but see Objection 3, below); accordingly, the Plan cannot be confirmed under the cramdown provisions of Section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

> Second Objection: The Plan has not been proposed in good faith and is not fair; evidence will show that the Plan was conceived, negotiated, and proposed by Coram's directors and management in violation of their

The noteholders are Cerberus Partners, L.P., Goldman Sachs Credit Partners, L.P., and Foothill Capital Corporation (collectively, the "Noteholders").

fiduciary duties and in collusion with Coram's former principal, Stephen Feinberg, with the intent of depriving shareholders of the value of their interests; accordingly, the Plan fails to comply with the requirements of Section 1129(a) (3).

Third Objection: The conduct of Cerberus and certain of Coram's directors and management was improper and inequitable and caused significant damage to the Company²; a claim against the persons causing such injury is an asset of the estates, or, alternatively, the improper conduct of Cerberus will require that the claim of Cerberus be recharacterized as equity. In either event the value of the estate available to equity holders will increase.

Fourth Objection: The proposed releases of shareholder claims against non-debtor parties renders the Plan not confirmable.

FACTS AND ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL OBJECTIONS

The Relationship Between <u>Daniel Crowley</u> (Chairman, CEO and Director of Coram) and <u>Stephen Feinberg</u> (Until Recently Director of Coram and Principal of Cerberus)

- 1. In September 1999, Daniel Crowley was retained by Coram as a consultant. On November 30, 1999, an employment agreement designating Crowley as Chief Executive Officer was executed.
- 2. Prior to his employment by Coram, Crowley and his consulting company, Dynamic Healthcare Solutions, L.L.C., received compensation from Cerberus for services as a paid consultant to Cerberus. (Disclosure Statement p. 48).
- 3. Cerberus holds a larger amount of the Notes than any other Noteholder. Stephen Feinberg is a principal of Cerberus, with senior responsibility for its investment in Coram.

410/31/1/1/21/0

It is not presently possible to state whether the other Noteholders, Goldman Sachs Credit Partners, L.P. and Foothill Capital Corporation, participated in such conduct; investigation by counsel continues.

Page 39 of 69

- Crowley's employment agreement was amended in November 1999, and again in 4. April 2000. The second amendment provided that Crowley was to receive as much as \$14 million for one year's work, if the Plan now under consideration by this Court is confirmed and the Coram' projected levels of EBITDA are achieved.
- The second amendment to Crowley's employment agreement was negotiated 5. between Crowley and Feinberg and was executed on behalf of Coram by Feinberg.
- During the period when Crowley was negotiating his three employment agreements 6. with Feinberg (who was ostensibly negotiating for Coram), Crowley and his consulting company, Dynamic Healthcare Solutions, L.L.C., received compensation from Feinberg's company, Cerberus. (Disclosure Statement p. 48).
- To this day, as disclosed in Coram's publicly available documents, Crowley and 7. his consulting company, Dynamic Healthcare Solutions, L.L.C., continue to receive compensation from Feinberg's company, Cerberus.
- Feinberg remained a member of Coram's boards of directors until very shortly 8. prior to the bankruptcy filings. Feinberg was also Chairman of Coram's Compensation Committee. It is believed that Feinberg remains active in the affairs of Coram to this day.

The Plan, and Why Coram and Cerberus Want to Wipe Out Equity Now.

9. The Plan provides that the Noteholders receive 100% of the equity of Coram. Existing equity interests, consisting of more than 49 million publicly traded shares, would be completely eliminated. Specifically, the Plan would convert approximately \$72 million of the \$252 million debt owed to the Noteholders into 100% of the equity of the Reorganized Coram and would cancel all existing equity interests in Coram. The Noteholders would receive New Secured

Page 40 of 69

Notes in the principal amount of \$180 million in addition to 100% of the equity in Reorganized Coram.

- When it filed the Plan on August 8, 2000, Coram insisted on a super fast 10. confirmation, claiming that the Plan must be confirmed - and equity must be wiped out - by December 31st of this year. Coram did this even though it faced no liquidity problems, did not need or seek operational reorganization, and Coram's debt to the Noteholders does not mature until May 2001.
 - Coram's attempts to justify the December 31st deadline on two grounds: 11.

First, that it will be unable to pay the Notes to the Noteholders when the Notes become due in May 2001 five months after the supposed deadline.

Second, it must by that date either be a privately held company or have \$75 million of shareholder equity in order to avoid problems of compliance with a federal statute that governs Medicare and Medicaid physician referrals (called "Stark II").

However, neither the debt to the Noteholders nor the Stark II issue - if it is an issue - came as a surprise to Coram. The evidence, some of which is described in the following paragraphs of these Objections, shows that Coram's directors and management failed to take even rudimentary steps to address these problems in a way that would have preserved equity value while there was time to do so. Instead, Coram ignored alternatives and waited nearly a year after being aware of the supposed problems. Then when they hoped it would be too late for equity holders to do anything about it, Coram filed a Plan that would wipe out all equity in favor of the Noteholders. In an attempt to insure the success of their schemes, Coram then asked for confirmation on a fast

Page 41 of 69

track and opposed the appointment of an Equity Committee to challenge Coram's valuation and the process by which it was determined.

12. The Equity Committee alleges that this was a deliberate and strategic decision by Coram's management to favor the Noteholders, the most significant of which is Cerberus, over the interests of the public shareholders. At minimum, the raw and ugly conflicts between:

> Crowley's fiduciary obligations as a manager and director of Coram on the one hand, and as a very well paid consultant to Cerberus, the principal Noteholder, on the other; and

> Feinberg's conflict between his fiduciary obligations as a director and head of the Compensation Committee of Coram on the one hand and as principal of the largest Noteholder, Cerberus, on the other,

raise grave inferences regarding the unfairness of Coram's Plan.

13. Beginning as early as June 1999, representatives of certain large shareholders of Coram coucht discussions, on a confidential basis, with its management, in order to begin to address the poor performance of the Company and to determine how these stockholders could assist the Company. In January 2000, a large shareholder (now a member of the Equity Committee) had a conversation with Crowley during which Crowley indicated that his interests were aligned with the shareholders, rather that the Noteholders. Crowley did not mention his then existing alignment with Cerberus. Crowley did say that if the Aetna settlement went through (as it later did), the shareholders' interests would be "o.k.". Crowley did not mention any Stark II problems, even though he knew at that time that Coram would not qualify for an exemption at the end of the year 2000. Apart from this discussion, telephone calls were, for the most part, not

Page 42 of 69

returned, and when they were, Coram's spokesman said no more in substance than "we are working to enhance shareholder value."

- 14. It appears from publicly available records and informal discussions that Coram never discussed capital market solutions, sale of the company or restructuring with anybody at all other than the Noteholders between June 1999 and today.
- Thus, between June 1999 and today, Coram, directed by the Noteholders and 15. Cerberus through Crowley (the conflicted Chairman of Coram and consultant to Cerberus) made no attempt to refinance or extend the maturity of the Notes, notwithstanding that during at least the first half of this year the market for high yield debt was extraordinarily strong.
- 16. Further, with respect to the alleged Stark II problem, Coram contends that as of December 31st of this year it will not qualify for an exemption under this law. It further contends that without such an exemption, Medicare and Medicaid referrals to Coram will dry up and it will be at risk for penalties for even inadvertent violations of Stark II by accepting referrals from physicians not known to be shareholders or related to shareholders. The Disclosure Statement, however, does not disclose the extent, if any, to which referrals of Medicare and Medicaid patients would be lost if the exemptions became unavailable, or whether any such loss of business would adversely affect Debtors' profitability. In fact, the scant evidence made available to the Equity Committee counsel at this point suggests that Medicare and Medicaid business carries a very low margin (because of constraints on the amount that may be charged) and that the elimination of this business may be favorable to the business of Coram.
- 17. Until August 8th, 2000, Coram, directed by the Noteholders and Cerberus through Crowley, never took action to address the Stark II issue, notwithstanding that Coram, and

Page 43 of 69

Crowley particularly, knew at least as early as December 1999 that Coram would not qualify for a public company exemption under Stark II as of the end of 2000.

Coram's Attempt To Silence the Voice of Equity.

- Coram has not and cannot explain its delay in addressing its May 2001 Note 18. maturity and its alleged December 31st Stark II problem. But when Coram finally filed these proceedings on August 8th - long after the problems were known to it - Coram accelerated its efforts to unfairly deprive stockholders of the value of their interests. The Equity Committee believes that the evidence will show that Coram, apparently under the de facto control of Cerberus, has consistently and insistently opposed all efforts by the shareholders to be heard, in pursuance of their scheme to declare an emergency and railroad their unfair Plan to confirmation.
- On August 9, 2000, one day after Debtors filed their Chapter 11 petitions, 19. stockholders holding between 25% and 30% of the outstanding shares of Coram Healthcare Corp., requested, in writing, that the U.S. Trustee appoint a committee of equity security holders. Coram strongly opposed this request, in telephone conferences, and in at least two letters dated August 21, 2000, and August 23, 2000. The Noteholders also strongly opposed the appointment of an equity committee, in a letter dated August 23, 2000. In large measure as a result of this opposition, the Equity Committee was not appointed until October 19, 2000.

OBJECTIONS

- I. The Plan Cannot be Confirmed Under the Cramdown Provisions Set Forth in Section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- Coram's only justification for wiping out existing equity is an appraisal of the 20. enterprise value of its business done as of July 31, 2000, by Chanin Capital Partners ("Chanin"). This appraisal, which the Equity Committee asserts is fundamentally flawed, suggests an

Page 44 of 69

enterprise value of \$207 million as of the valuation date, or about 82% of the amount of the notes held by the Noteholders due next May. Chanin was retained solely for the purposes of providing an appraisal. Neither Chanin nor any other financial advisor was ever retained to explore sale of the business or any other strategic alternatives.

- 21. Under the Plan, the equity security holders of Coram will receive no distributions and the class of equity security interests (Class CHC 4) is deemed to have rejected the Plan. (Plan, p. 17). Accordingly, to confirm the Plan, Coram must carry the burden of proving that the Plan satisfies, in full, the requirements of Section 1129(b) that the Plan is "fair and equitable" with respect to the class of equity interests.
- 22. The requirements of Section 1129(b) preclude confirmation of a plan of reorganization which eliminates stockholder interests if a senior class of creditors is provided for more than in full. In re MCorp, 137 B.R. 219, 234 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. 1992) ("[F]or a plan to be confirmed when stockholder interests are eliminated, creditors must not be provided for more than in full."); In re Evans Products Co., 65 B.R. 31, 33 (Bankr. S.D. Fla. 1986); In re Future Energy Corp., 83 B.R. 470, 494, n.39 (Bankr. S.D. Ohio 1988).

As stated in 7 Collier on Bankruptcy ¶1129.04[4][a] (15th ed. rev. 1997):

The second major component of the "fair and equitable" requirements is that no creditor or interest holder be paid a "premium" over the allowed amount of its claim. Once the participant receives or retains property equal to its claim, it may receive no more.

23. Under the Plan, the value of the distributions to be received by the creditors. including the New Secured Notes and 100% of the equity in Reorganized Coram, will exceed the full amount of their claims. Therefore, the Plan cannot be confirmed under Section 1129(b).

Page 45 of 69

- Coram relies on a valuation by Chanin Capital Partners to satisfy their burden of 24. proof under Section 1129(b). This appraisal has a valuation date of July 31, 2000, not January 1, 2001, as represented in the Disclosure Statement.
- 25. The Chanin appraisal is flawed in numerous respects and significantly undervalues Coram. The Chanin appraisal determined an enterprise value by a weighted average calculation of valuations based on three different valuation approaches: the discounted cash flow approach ("DCF"), the public company comparable multiples approach, and the comparable transactions approach. Although these approaches may be appropriate in general, the specific methodology and application of those approaches, as used by Chanin to estimate an enterprise value, were seriously flawed in numerous respects, including, not limited to the following:
 - (A) The weighted average cost of capital used by Chanin in the DCF approach was indefensibly high and resulted in a significant undervaluing of the enterprise value.
 - (B) Chanin did not use four years of projections in the DCF approach to determine a value. Instead, they used a projection for only one year, 2001, and then used "extrapolations" for the following three years. extrapolations were indefensibly low and significantly undervalued the enterprise value.
 - (C) Coram's projected fiscal year 2000 EBITDA, which was used for calculating values under the public company comparable approach and the comparable transaction approach, was significantly understated because it included a very large non-recurring cost (Crowley's extraordinary \$14 million bonus) and thus resulted in significant undervaluation of an enterprise value.
 - (D) Chanin failed to consider the significant value that would be provided by Coram's \$200 million plus net operating loss. Chanin also gave no consideration to a lawsuit filed by Coram against a major accounting firm seeking \$165 million in damages, nor to Coram's claim against Crowley and Cerberus. (See paragraphs 37 and 38.)

(E) The Chanin appraisal improperly reduced the calculated enterprise value because of Chanin's opinion that the marketplace undervalues entities in reorganization.

This last approach, which results in an undervaluation that hits junior interests hardest, is contrary to established precedents that define the appropriate standards to determine whether the treatment provided to the various parties is "fair and equitable." Consolidated Rock Products Co. v. Du Bois, 312 U.S. 510, 526, 61 S.Ct. 675, 684-85, 84 L.Ed. 982, 991 (1941); In re Penn Central Transportation Co., 596 F.2d 1102, 1115-16 (3d Cir. 1979); see also 7 Collier on Bankruptcy ¶1129.06[2][a] (15th ed. rev. 1997).

- II. The Plan Was Not Proposed in Good Faith and Fails to Comply With the Requirements of Section 1129(a)(3) of the Code.
- The Equity Committee objects to the Plan on the ground that the Plan fails to 26. comply with the requirements of Section 1129(a)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code that a plan of reorganization "be proposed in good faith and not by means forbidden by law." As this court recognized in In re Zenith Electronics Corp., 241 B.R. 92, 107 (Bankr. Del. 1999), this requires that the plan be proposed with honesty and good intentions and with for the purpose of realizing the objectives of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 27. The central and most critical fact in these proceedings is that the management of Coram and strategic decisions concerning its capital structure have been essentially controlled by the Noteholders and not by an independent board discharging its fiduciary duty to shareholders.
- 28. Coram has conceded that the motivating factor for its petitions and the Plan (and for the manner and timing in which the Plan was filed and confirmation was sought) is to use the bankruptcy process to alleviate a purported, potential regulatory problem pertaining to referrals

of Medicare and Medicaid patients. It is not, however, an objective of the Bankruptcy Code to resolve regulatory problems of healthcare companies arising out of their furnishing services to Medicare and Medicaid patients. Coram seeks to use the Bankruptcy Code as a simple expedient to eliminate any potential problem regarding physician referrals of Medicare or Medicaid patients by simply eliminating all shareholder interests. Coram could not do this outside of bankruptcy and it is not good faith for it to use the bankruptcy process to do so.

- 29. Further, the manner in which Coram and the Noteholders seek to achieve this result also demonstrates a lack of good faith. Coram hid its intent to wipe out equity until August 8, 2000, when the Petitions were filed. (Public statements of Coram prior to the filing of the petitions referred to a possible conversion of debt to equity that would "dilute" existing equity; but never mentioned the prospect of complete elimination of equity.) Coram did not explore other alternatives before filing their petitions. Instead, it allowed all other potential opportunities and alternatives to disappear or be severely limited due to the passage of time. Coram then surprised the existing shareholders -- to whom its officers and directors owe a fiduciary duty -- with a Plan of Reorganization that completely wipes out their interests and hands the future value of this "dynamite" enterprise to a group of Noteholders. Viewed in light of the severe conflict caused by Crowley's relationship with Cerberus and Feinberg, and the \$14 million bonus he gets if this Plan is confirmed and all the equity goes to the Noteholders -- the Plan is manifestly unfair.
- 30. Moreover, Coram seeks to exploit both the exclusivity provisions of Section 1121 of the Bankruptcy Code and the obvious tactical advantages gained through a first strike attack coupled with an assertion of the need for a hurried resolution.
- 31. Coram has structured these proceedings so that the shareholders' interests are dependent solely on the imperfect and uncertain process of evaluating an enterprise value based

Page 48 of 69

on opinion testimony of appraisers. In this case the insufficiency of such a process is exacerbated by a lack of time, caused largely by Coram delaying tactics, objections to appointment of an equity committee, and lack of cooperation in discovery.

- 32. This situation, created by Coram and the Noteholders, presents an equivalent burden on Coram's shareholders as that which the Supreme Court concluded was improperly thrust upon the creditor in Bank of America v. 203 North LaSalle Street Partnership, 526 U.S. 434, 119 S.Ct. 1411, 143 L.Ed.2d 607 (1999). In both situations, the persons in control of the debtor-in-possession, while holding the exclusive right to propose a plan of reorganization, sought to require that the rights and interests of another party in interest be conclusively determined by opinion testimony as to the value of the enterprise. Coram's efforts to compel that process are not in good faith.
- 33. Additionally, the proposed transaction does not comply with Delaware corporate law. As this Court recognized in Zenith, Section 1129(a)(3) "does incorporate Delaware law" and, thus, a plan of reorganization "must meet the standards for approval of such a transaction under Delaware corporate law." 241 B.R. at 107.
- 34. Here, the Noteholders effectively control Coram. The proposed transaction under which the Noteholders will acquire full and complete ownership is thus one that involves selfdealing and therefore requires a showing that the transaction is "entirely fair." Jedwab v. MGM Grand Hotels, Inc., 509 A.2d 584, 594 (Del. Ch. 1983) (citing Weinberger v. UOP, Inc., 457 A.2d 701 (Del. 1983) and Gottlieb v. Heyden Chemical Corp., 91 A.2d 57 (Del. 1952)). The transaction proposed by Coram and the Noteholders that wipes out equity in favor of the Noteholders is not only not "entirely fair," it is blatantly unfair. It cannot be sustained under the

Page 49 of 69

"entire fairness" doctrine, which "requires a determination that both the process and the price was Zenith, 241 B.R. at 107. Delaware law expresses it this way:

The concept of fairness has two basic aspects: fair dealing and fair price. The former embraces questions of when the transaction was timed, how it was initiated, structured, negotiated, disclosed to the directors, and how the approvals of the directors and the shareholders were obtained. The latter aspect of fairness relates to the economics and financial considerations of the proposed merger, including all relevant factors: assets, market value, earnings, future prospects, and any other elements that affect the intrinsic or inherent value of a company's stock.

Weinberger v. UOP, Inc., 457 A.2d 701, 711 (Del. 1983).

- 35. As noted, the proposed transaction, based upon a flawed appraisal valuation, is not for a fair price. Moreover, the timing, negotiation, and approval of the proposed reorganization have none of the indicia of fair dealing, but instead smack of a backroom deal cut between the Noteholders and their handpicked, handsomely compensated confederates and presented to the equity as a fait accompli and to this Court on a rushed basis for rubber stamp confirmation.
- 36. Certainly the timing of the filing, months after the problem that supposedly dictated the filing had been known to the Coram, coupled with a request for fast track proceedings, its opposition to the appointment of an equity committee, and delay in discovery, all demonstrate a lack of fairness in the process employed by Coram here.
 - III. Cerberus' Conduct Was Inequitable and Requires That its Claim Be Recharacterized as Equity, or That a Claim Be Pursued On Behalf of Coram Against Cerberus.
- 37. The evidence will show that Cerberus is guilty of the type of inequitable conduct described by this Court in Zenith: "[t]o recharacterize debt as equity or to equitably subordinate a claim, the creditor must have done something inequitable." 241 B.R. at 106.

420731 1 1 671:00

Page 50 of 69

38. Alternatively, if, as we believe, the evidence at trial shows that Cerberus caused management, by the payment of substantial consulting fees and more than \$16 million in extraordinary bonuses, to violate their fiduciary duties to the shareholders by (i) ignoring all potential means of preserving shareholder values, (ii) exaggerating and/or delaying addressing a supposed regulatory problem in order to create a pretext for a fast track bankruptcy reorganization and (iii) proposing a Plan based on a flawed valuation that wiped out equity in favor of the Noteholders, then Coram likely has a cause of action against Cerberus. That claim is an asset of the estate and must be taken into account in determining equity value. See Weinberger v. Rio Grande Industries, Inc., 519 A.2d 116, 131 (Del. 1986) (delineating the requirements for a civil action for aiding and abetting the breach of a fiduciary duty).

IV. The Releases of Shareholder Claims Against Non-Debtor Parties Renders the Plan Non-Confirmable.

The Equity Committee objects to any release of claims that shareholders have or may have against non-debtor parties. This court ruled in Zenith that releases by third parties of claims held against non-debtors "cannot be accomplished without the affirmative agreement of the creditor affected." 241 B.R. at 111. The Plan provides no distribution to the shareholders; hence they are deemed to have rejected the Plan and will be voting on the Plan. Accordingly, any claim that the shareholders may have against non-debtors cannot be released.

(666305 6)

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, The Equity Committee respectfully submits that confirmation of Coram's Joint Plan of Reorganization should be denied.

Mark Minuti (No. 2659)
Tara L. Lattomus (No. 3515)
SAUL EWING LLP
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1200
P.O. Box 1266
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 421-6840/6847

PROPOSED LOCAL COUNSEL TO THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS

-and-

Richard F. Levy (IL ARDC NO. 01645064)
Theodore J. Low (IL ARDC NO. 01696491)
Benjamin D. Schwartz (IL ARDC NO. 0252276)
Brandy A. Sargent (IL ARDC NO. 06270551)
Andrea Harmon (IL ARDC NO. pending)
ALTHEIMER & GRAY
10 South Wacker Drive, Suite 4000
Chicago, IL 60606
(312) 715-4000 (phone)
(312) 715-4800 (FAX)

Attorneys for The Official Committee of Equity Security Holders of Coram Healthcare Corp.

Dated: November 21, 2000

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

| IN RE: |) | Chapter 11 |
|---|---|--|
| CORAM HEALTHCARE CORP. and CORAM, INC., |) | Case Nos. 00-3299 (MFW) and Case No. 00-3300 (MFW) |
| Debtors. |) | Jointly Administered |

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Mark Minuti, Esquire, of Saul Ewing LLP, hereby certify that on November 21, 2000, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Objections Of The Official Committee Of Equity Security Holders Of Coram Healthcare Corp. To The Joint Plan Of Reorganization Proposed By Debtors Coram Healthcare Corp. And Coram, Inc. was served on the parties on the attached service list in the manner indicated thereon.

Mark Minuti (No. 2659)

SAUL EWING LLP

222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1200

P. O. Box 1266

Wilmington, DE 19899

(302) 421-6840

MINUTES OF A TELEPHONIC MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF CORAM HEALTHCARE CORPORATION

December 28, 2000

A telephonic meeting of the Board of Directors of Coram Healthcare Corporation (the "Company") was convened, pursuant to a Waiver of Notice signed by each member of the Board, at approximately 4:00 p.m. MST. (See Attachments A) Participating in the meeting were the following Directors: Daniel D. Crowley, Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President; Donald J. Amarai; William J. Casey; and Sandra R. Smoley. L. Peter Smith was absent. Allen J. Marahito, Executive Vice President, and Scott R. Danitz, Senior Vice President Finance, also participated in the meeting, along with the following legal advisors to the Company; David F. Friedman, Esq. of Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman, LLP and Eugene Tillman, Esq. and Frederick C. Leech, Esq. of Reed Smith Shaw & McClay LLP. Mr. Crowley acted as Chairman of the meeting and Mr. Marabito kept the minutes.

REPORT OF DECEMBER 28, 2000 BANKRUPTCY HEARING

After the meeting was called to order, Counsel provided a report on the Court's granting the Debtors' request to authorize and approve issuance of preferred stack in exchange for debt to remain compliant with Stark II. Also reviewed were the final terms and covenants of the conversion. The Board was also briefed on the resolution of certain other issues addressed at the hearing. Messrs. Tillman and Leech advised the Board on the documentation that was required for their approval of, among other things, the issuance of Coram, Inc.'s preferred stock.

The board members next renewed their discussion regarding the identification and selection of third-party, independent persons or firms to review the Debtors' processes in the Chapter 11 reorganization-proceeding. Mr. Friedman informed the Board of the possibility of such an approach in the Chapter 11 proceeding. The Board was also informed of the extension for 90 days of the Debtors' period of exclusivity to file a plan of reorganization. The independent members of the Board decided to hold a telephone conference call amongst themselves to discuss potential third-party candidates and the selection process.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 4:30 p.m. MST.

Respectfully submitted,

Allen J. Marabito

Secretary of the Meeting

Allon Marabuto

TRUSTEE009502 USDC-DE #04-1565

Case 1:04-cv-01565-SLR

WAIYER OF NOTICE OF MEETING OF THE **BOARD OF DIRECTORS** CORAM HEALTHCARE CORPORATION

I hereby waive any notices required in connection with a telephonic meeting of the Board of Directors of Coram Healthcare Corporation to be held on December 28, 2000 at 4:00pm MST and consent to the convening of such meeting.

Signature ...

Daniel D. Crowley

Printed Name

TRUSTEE009503 USDC-DE #04-1565

WAIVER OF NOTICE OF MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF CORAM HEALTHCARE CORPORATION

I hereby waive any notices required in connection with a telephonic meeting of the Board of Directors of Coram Healthcare Corporation to be held on December 28, 2000 at 4:00pm MST and consent to the convening of such meeting.

Signature

Printed Name

TRUSTEE009504 USDC-DE #04-1565 WAIVER OF NOTICE OF MEETING
OF THE
BOARD OF DIRECTORS
OF
CORAM HEALTHCARE CORPORATION

I hereby waive any notices required in connection with a telephonic meeting of the Board of Directors of Coram Healthcare Corporation to be held on December 28, 2000 at 4:00pm MST and consent to the convening of such meeting.

Signature

Printed Name

WAIVER OF NOTICE OF MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF CORAM HEALTHCARE CORPORATION

I hereby waive any notices required in connection with a telephonic meeting of the Board of Directors of Coram Healthcare Corporation to be held on December 28, 2000 at 4:00pm MST and consent to the convening of such meeting.

Signature

Printed Name

TRUSTEE009506 USDC-DE #04-1565

WAIVER OF NOTICE OF MEETING OF THE **BOARD OF DIRECTORS** CORAM HEALTHCARE CORPORATION

I hereby waive any notices required in connection with a telephonic meeting of the Board of Directors of Coram Healthcare Corporation to be held on December 28, 2000 at 4:00pm MST and consent to the convening of such meeting.

Sandra R. Smoley
Signature

Sandra R. Snoley
Printed Name

TRUSTEE009507 USDC-DE #04-1565

CORAM HEALTHCARE CORP., et al. Service List

Via Hand Delivery: Laura Davis Jones, Esquire Rachel S. Lowy, Esquire Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl, Young & Jones P.C. 919 Market Street, 16th Floor P.O. Box 8705 Wilmington, DE 19899-8705

Deborah E. Spivack, Esquire Mark D. Collins, Esquire Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A. One Rodney Square P.O. Box 551 Wilmington, DE 19899

Via Telecopy:

Richard Schepacarter, Esquire Office of the United States Trustee 601 Walnut Street Curtis Center, Suite 950-West Philadelphia, PA 19106

David M. Friedman, Esquire Athena Foley, Esquire Adam L. Shiff, Esquire Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman 1633 Broadway New York, NY 10019-6022

Chaim Fortgang, Esquire Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz 51 West 52nd Street New York, NY 10019

Alan B. Miller, Esquire Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000 COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 1-11343

Coram Healthcare Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE (State or other jurisdiction of Incorporation or organization)

33-0615337 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

1125 SEVENTEENTH STREET, SUITE 2100 DENVER, COLORADO (Address of principal executive offices)

80202 (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (303) 292-4973

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

TITLE OF EACH CLASS Common Stock (\$.001 par value per share) NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE ON WHICH REGISTERED Over the Counter Bulletin Board

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will to the contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes [X] No []

As of April 2, 2001, there were outstanding 49,638,452 shares of the registrant's common stock, which is the only class of voting tock of the registrant outstanding. As of such date, the aggregate market value of the shares of common stock held by nonaffiliates of he registrant based on the closing price for the common stock on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board on April 2, 2001, was

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None

JSP 01800

Statement of Forward Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains certain "forward-tooking" statements (as such term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995) and information relating to Coram Healthcare Corporation ("CHC") and its subsidiaries (collectively "Coram" or the "company") that are based on the beliefs of the management of Coram, as well as, assumptions made by and information currently available to the management of Coram. Coram's actual results may vary materially from the forward-looking statements made in this report due to important factors, including, but not limited to: the uncertainties related to the ongoing bankruptcy proceedings of Coram Healthcare Corporation and Coram, Inc., including actions taken by parties who may be adverse to management's plan of reorganization; Coram's ability to maintain continued compliance with the provisions of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993 (commonly referred to as "Stark II"); Coram's lack of profitability; uncertainties associated with the outcomes of certain pending legal proceedings; the company's significant level of outstanding indebtedness; the company's need to obtain additional financing or equity; uncertainties associated with the dilution that would occur if the company's existing debt holders exercise their equity conversion rights; the company's limited liquidity; the company's dependence upon the prices paid by third-party payers for the company's services; uncertainties associated with the changes in state and federal regulations and the impact on healthcare services businesses, as well as, enhanced regulatory oversight of the healthcare industry; and certain other factors, all of which are described in greater detail in this report in Item 7 under the caption "Risk Factors." When used in this report, the words "estimate," "project," "believe," "anticipate," "intend," "expect" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements reflect the current views of management with respect to future events based on currently available information and are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated in such forward-looking statements. For a discussion of such risks, see Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations: Risk Factors." Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. Management does not undertake any obligation to publicly release any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General Overview

Lines of Business. During 2000, Coram was engaged primarily in two principal lines of business consisting of alternate site (outside the hospital) infusion therapy and related services (including non-intravenous home health products such as durable medical equipment and respiratory therapy services) and pharmacy benefit management and specialty mail-order pharmacy services. Other services offered by Coram include centralized management, administration and clinical support for clinical research trials.

In December 1999, Coram announced that it was repositioning its business to focus on its core alternate site infusion therapy business and the clinical research business operated by its wholly-owned subsidiary, CTI Network, Inc. Accordingly, Coram's primary business strategy is to focus its efforts on the delivery of its core infusion therapies, such as nutrition, anti-infective therapies, intravenous immunoglobulin ("IVIG"), therapy for persons receiving transplants, and coagulant and blood clotting therapies for persons with hemophilia. Coram has also implemented programs focused on the reduction and control of the costs of providing services and operating expenses, assessment of under-performing branches and review of branch efficiencies. Accordingly, several branches have been closed or scaled back to serve as satellites for other branches and personnel have been eliminated (see Note 6 to the company's Consolidated Financial Statements). Additionally, the company's pharmacy benefit management and specialty mailorder pharmacy services businesses were sold during the year ended December 31, 2000 (see Note 5 to the company's Consolidated Financial Statements). Most of the company's alternate site infusion therapy net revenue is derived from third-party payers such as private indemnity insurers, managed care organizations and governmental payers. Management's objective is to continue to provide services that consistently achieve desired clinical outcomes and maintain Coram's consistent high level of patient satisfaction while focusing on disciplined enhancements to the service model. By establishing best demonstrated practice benchmarks for nursing, pharmacy and clinical operations personnel, cost reductions have been achieved while simultaneously improving the quality and consistency of care. Furthermore, management throughout Coram is continuing to concentrate on reimbursement for services rendered by emphasizing improved billing procedures, documentation and cash collections methods, continued assessment of systems support for reimbursement and concentration of Coram's expertise and managenal resources into certain reimbursement locations.

Prior to August 1, 2000, the company delivered pharmacy benefit management and specialty mail-order pharmacy services through its Coram Prescription Services ("CPS") business, which provided services and mail-order prescription drugs for chronically ill patients from one primary mail order facility, four satellite mail order facilities and one retail pharmacy. The pharmacy benefit management service provided on-line claims administration, formulary management and certain drug utilization review services through a nationwide network of retail pharmacies. CPS's specialty mail-order pharmacy services were delivered through its six facilities, which provided distribution, compliance monitoring, patient education and clinical support to a wide variety of patients. In connection with Coram's repositioned business focus, on July 31, 2000 the company completed the sale of CPS to Curascript Pharmacy Services, Inc. and Curascript PBM Services, Inc., which are newly formed affiliates of GTCR Golder Rauner, L.L.C. and are led by certain members of the former CPS management team. See Note 5 to the company's Consolidated Financial Statements

Prior to January I, 2000, the company provided ancillary network management services through its wholly-owned subsidiaries. Coram Resource Network, Inc. and Coram Independent Practice Association, Inc. (collectively the "Resource Network Subsidiaries" or "R-Net"), which managed networks of home healthcare providers on behalf of HMOs, PPOs, at-risk physician groups and other managed care organizations. R-Net served its customers through two primary call centers and three satellite offices. In April 1998, the company entered into a five-year capitated agreement with Aetna U.S. Healthcare, Inc. ("Aetna") (the "Master Agreement") for the management and provision of certain home health services, including home infusion, respiratory therapy, durable medical equipment, hospice care and home nursing support for several of Aetna's disease management programs. Effective July 1, 1998, the company began receiving capitated payments on a monthly basis for members covered under the Master Agreement. The company also assumed certain linancial risks for certain home health services and began providing management services for a network of home health providers through R-Net. The agreements that R-Net had for the provision of ancillary network management services have been terminated and R-Net is no longer providing any ancillary actwork management services. Coram and Aetna were previously involved in litigation over the Master Agreement; however, the litigation was amicably resolved and the case was dismissed on April 20, 2000. The Resource Network Subsidiaries filed voluntary bankruptcy petitions on November 12, 1999 with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. The Resource Network Subsidiaries are being liquidated pursuant to such proceedings. See Note 4 to the company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

While management believes the implementation of its overall business strategy has improved operating performance throughout the company, no assurances can be given as to its ultimate success. See Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial

Coram's reportable segments over the last three fiscal years have been alternate site infusion therapy and related services (including non-intravenous home health products such as durable medical equipment and respiratory therapy services), ancillary network management services, mail order pharmacy and pharmacy benefit management services, and other services, consisting primarily of centralized management, administration and clinical support for clinical research trials. The Resource Network Subsidiaries managed networks of home healthcare providers on behalf of managed care plans and other payers. The agreements that R-Net had for the provision of ancillary network management services have been terminated and R-Net is no longer providing any ancillary network management services. The Resource Network Subsidiaries are being liquidated in the Chapter 11 proceedings that are currently pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. See Note 4 to the company's Consolidated Financial Statements. Mail order pharmacy and pharmacy benefit management services were provided by the CPS business, which was discontinued effective July 31, 2000 following its disposition. See Note 5 to the company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Company History: Recent Events

Coram was formed on July 8, 1994 as a result of a merger by and among T2 Medical, Inc., Curaflex Health Services, Inc., Medisys, Inc., and HealthInfusion, Inc., each of which was a publicly-held national or regional provider of home infusion therapy and related services. Each of these companies became and is now an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of CHC. The merger was

Coram made a number of acquisitions since operations commenced, the most significant of which was the acquisition of certain assets of the home infusion business of Caremark, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Caremark International, Inc., effective April 1, 1995. In addition, Coram acquired H.M.S.S., Inc., a leading regional provider of home infusion therapies based in Houston, Texas, effective September 12, 1994. As a result of these acquisitions, Coram became a leading provider of alternate site infusion therapy services in the United States based on geographic service area and total revenue.

In April 1998, the company signed the Aetna Master Agreement, which became effective July 1, 1998. Under the Master Agreement, which was expected to last five years, the Resource Network Subsidiaries managed and provided home healthcare

services for over two million Aetna enrollees in eight states for a stated monthly fee per enrollee. The Resource Network Subsidiaries began serving Aetna enrollees under the Master Agreement on or about July 1, 1998. The Resource Network Subsidiaries provided a notice of termination of the Master Agreement effective June 30, 1999, and Aetna terminated the company's National Ancillary Services Agreement, which covered infusion services provided by the company's branch locations, effective April 12, 2000. Subsequently, the disputes with Aetna were resolved amicably between the parties on April 20, 2000 and the company and Aetna have agreed to use good faith efforts to negotiate a new agreement for home infusion services. See Item 3. "Legal Proceedings" and Note 13 to the company's Consolidated Financial Statements for more information regarding this matter.

On July 31, 2000, Coram completed the sale of CPS to Curascript Pharmacy Services, Inc. and Curascript PBM Services, Inc. See Note 5 to the company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

CHC and its first tier wholly-owned subsidiary, Coram, Inc. ("CI") (collectively the "Debtors"), filed voluntary petitions under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") on August 8, 2000. As of such date, the Debtors are operating as debtors-in-possession subject to the jurisdiction of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Bankruptcy Court"). None of the company's other subsidiaries is a debtor in the proceeding. See Note 3 to the company's Consolidated Financial Statements and Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations: Risk Factors."

Delivery of Alternate Site Infusion Services

General. Coram delivers its alternate site infusion therapy services through 76 branch offices located in 40 states and Ontario, Canada. Additionally, Coram delivers alternate site infusion therapy services through joint venture and partnership agreements at several other geographic locations. Infusion therapy involves the intravenous administration of nutrition, anti-infective therapy, intravenous immunoglobulin ("IVIG"), blood factor therapies, pain management, chemotherapy and other therapies.

Infusion patients are primarily referred to Coram following the diagnosis of a specific disease or upon discharge from a hospital. The treating physician generally will determine whether the patient is a candidate for home infusion treatment. Typically, a hospital discharge planner, the patient's physician and a managed care payer will recommend or determine the infusion company to which a patient is referred even though the patient ultimately has the freedom to choose his or her own service provider. Because drugs administered intravenously tend to be more potent and complex than oral drugs, the delivery of intravenous drugs requires patient training, specialized equipment and clinical monitoring by skilled nurses and pharmacists. Most therapies require either a gravity-based flow control device or an electro-mechanical pump to administer the drugs. Some therapies are administered continuously; however, most are given for prescribed intermittent periods of time. Coram nurses and pharmacists work with the patient's physician to monitor and assess the patient's condition and update the therapy as necessary. The duration of the patient's treatment may last from as little as a few days to as long as the patient's entire life.

Branch Facilities. The delivery of infusion services is coordinated through local or regional infusion branches, or related satellite locations. A typical full service branch provides the following functions:

- (i) patient intake and admission;
- (ii) sterile product preparation by pharmacists and pharmacy technicians;
- (iii) clinical pharmacy services;
- (iv) clinical nursing services:
- (v) collaborative clinical monitoring and disease management;
- (vi) materials management, including drug and supply inventory and delivery;
- (vii) billing, collections and benefit verification;
- (viii) marketing to local referral sources, including doctors, hospitals and payers; and
- (ix) general management

JSP 01803

A typical full service branch has a fully equipped infusion pharmacy, offices for clinical and administrative personnel and a storage warehouse. It also employs a branch manager, licensed pharmacists, pharmacy technicians, registered nurses, dictitians, and sales and administrative personnel. Such a branch also serves the market area in which it is located, generally within a two-hour driving radius of the patients served, as well as, outlying locations where it can arrange appropriate nursing services. Smaller satellite locations contain limited supplies and pharmacy operations and are used as support centers to respond to patient needs in specific geographical areas. Coram's full service branches and satellite locations are leased and range from 125 to 32,000 square feet of office space, primarily in suburban office parks, often in close proximity to major medical facilities.

In-Home Patient Care. Before accepting a patient for home infusion treatment, the staff at the local branch works closely with the patient's physician or clinician and hospital personnel in order to assess the patient's suitability for home care. This assessment process includes, among other things, an assessment of the patient's physical and emotional status as well as an assessment of certain social factors such as the safety and cleanliness of the home environment and the availability of family members or others to assist in the administration of the patient's therapy, if necessary. Patient review also includes a verification of the patient's eligibility based upon established admission criteria and the patient's benefits package available from his or her insurance carrier, managed care provider or governmental payer.

When a patient's suitability for home care has been confirmed, the patient and the patient's designated carepartner receive training and education concerning the therapy to be administered, including the proper infusion technique and the care and use of intravenous devices and other equipment used in connection with the therapy. The patient and the patient's carepartner are also trained to monitor the patient's response to the therapy in order to identify changes of which the healthcare team should be notified. The initial patient assessment and training are generally performed by nurses employed by or overseen by Coram.

Prior to the patient receiving treatment services from Coram, the treating physician develops the patient's plan of treatment and communicates it to the local branch's clinical support team, including its nurses and pharmacists. The team develops a plan of care and works with the treating physician and the payer case manager, if applicable, to provide care and to monitor the patient's progress and responses to treatment. The Coram pharmacist speaks with the patient or carepartner prior to dispensing the prescribed therapy and performs a prospective review of the patient's condition and medical history. Throughout the patient's therapy, the local branch's clinical support team will regularly provide the treating physician and the payer case manager with reports on the patient's condition, creating an information flow that allows the treating physician to actively manage the patient's treatment. The treating physician always directs the patient's care, including changing the plan of treatment in accordance with the patient's needs and responses.

Upon the patient's arrival home, a nurse performs an initial patient assessment which includes a comprehensive physical examination and environmental assessment. Typically, the administration of the patient's first home infusion treatment is overseen during that visit. Thereafter, the frequency of nursing visits depends upon the particular therapy the patient is receiving, as well as, the level of independence the patient or carepartner has achieved with regard to the administration and monitoring of the prescribed therapy. During these subsequent visits, the nurse may check and assess the patient's intravenous lines and related equipment, obtain blood samples, change the pump settings and/or drug administration, assess the patient's condition and compliance with the plan of care and provide ongoing teaching and support. The patient's supplies and drugs are typically delivered on a weekly basis depending on the therapy and the type of drugs being administered. The treating physician and the payer case manager, if applicable, remain actively involved in the patient's treatment by monitoring the success of the plan of treatment and revising as necessary.

Alternate Site Infusion Therapy: Products and Services

General. Coram provides a variety of infusion therapies, principally nutrition, anti-infective therapies and IVIG, as well as, coagulant and blood clotting therapies for patients with hemophilia. A physician, based upon a patient's diagnosis, treatment plan and response to therapy, determines the initiation and duration of these therapies. Certain therapies, such as anti-infective therapy, are generally used in the treatment of temporary infectious conditions, while others, such as nutrition or coagulants, may be required on a long-term or permanent basis. The patient, the designated carepartner or an employee of Coram administers infusion therapies at the patient's home. In some patient groups, such as immuno-suppressed patients (e.g., AIDS/HIV, cancer, transplant patients, etc.), blood coagulant therapies or anti-infective therapies may be provided periodically over the duration of the primary disease or for the remainder of the patient's life, generally as episodic care.

Nutrition Therapy. Total parenteral nutrition therapy ("TPN") involves the intravenous feeding of life-sustaining nutrients to patients with impaired or altered digestive tracts due to inflammatory bowel disease, short bowel syndrome or other gastrointestinal illnesses. The therapy is generally administered through a central catheter surgically implanted into a major blood vessel to introduce

the nutrient solution into the bloodstream. The nutrient solution may contain amino acids, dextrose, fatty acids, electrolytes, trace uninerals or vitamins. In many cases, the underlying illness or condition from which parenteral nutrition patients suffer is recurrent in nature requiring periodic re-hospitalization for treatment followed by resumption of parenteral nutrition at home. Some patients must remain on parenteral nutritional therapy for life and other patients may require short-term TPN therapy to augment nutritional status, such as patients with a diagnosis of cancer, hyperemesis, HIV, eating disorders, and other diseases and treatments.

Enteral nutrition therapy is administered through a feeding tube into the gastrointestinal tract to patients who cannot eat as a result of an obstruction to the upper gastrointestinal tract or other medical conditions. Enteral nutrition therapy is often administered over a long period, often for six months or longer.

Anti-Infective Therapy. Anti-infective therapy is the infusion of antibacterial, anti-viral or anti-fungal medications into the patient's bloodstream for the treatment of a variety of infectious episodes, such as osteomyclitis (bone infections), bacterial endocarditis (infection of the heart valves), wound infections, infections associated with AIDS, cancer, post-transplant and infections of the kidneys and urinary tract. Intravenous anti-infective drugs are delivered through a peripheral catheter inserted in a vein in the patient's arm or via a centrally placed catheter. Anti-infective drugs are often more effective when infused directly into the bloodstream than when taken orally.

Intravenous Immunoglobulin. Intravenous immunoglobulin ("IVIG") therapy involves the administration of blood derivative products (gammaglobulins) which are administered to patients with immune deficiency or altered immune status. IVIG therapy is most commonly administered to patients with primary immune deficiencies or autoimmune disorders or as part of a post-transplant treatment protocol. Patients receiving IVIG therapy for primary immune deficiencies usually receive the therapy for life. Patients receiving IVIG therapy for autoimmune disorders receive the therapy intermittently over a period of months depending on their condition.

Coagulation and Blood Clotting Therapies. Coagulation or factor replacement therapy is the intermittent administration of a blood clotting factor. Blood clotting factors are generally administered to persons with hemophilia or related genetic disorders which affect the blood's ability to clot. In these disorders, one or more of the normal blood clotting factors is not produced in sufficient amounts by the body. The absence of these clotting factors makes it difficult or impossible for a patient to stop bleeding. Severe hemophiliacs can suffer from spontaneous bleeding episodes without trauma. Repeated bleeding episodes can cause permanent loss of mobility in the joints putting the patient at further risk medically and impinging on their ability to live a normal life. Factor replacement products are administered via a centrally inserted or peripherally inserted intravenous catheter over a short period of time (approximately 10 minutes). Factor is infused when bleeding episodes occur or on a routine preventative basis (prophylaxis). Most patients (even children) and/or their carepartners learn to start their own intravenous catheter and administer their factor. Persons with hemophilia and others who have inherited clotting disorders will require these products throughout their lives.

The ability to acquire factor product under normal conditions is volatile, but currently the international demand for certain factor products far exceeds the supply. Availability of factor product from manufacturers is spotty, thereby requiring the company to purchase through the blood broker market wherein pricing may not be favorable to the company and product availability can change significantly from day to day. During such times of shortages, prices soar with limited availability to pass these additional costs on to patients. Due to the nature of factor manufacturing processes, intermittent product shortages may be experienced from time to time, which may make it difficult for Coram to meet the needs of its patients and may have an adverse impact on Coram's future results of operations. These shortages could be due to insufficient donor pools, failed production lots, contamination, etc. Moreover, a single patient's requirements may, at any given time, expend what would normally be a whole month's inventory for multiple patients. During March 2001, the company began experiencing difficulties obtaining recombinant factor VIII (rVIII) due to a nationwide shortage of this product which was precipitated by Federal Drug Administration requirements exceeding expectations of current manufacturing. Coram currently has a supply of this factor product in inventory to meet immediate patient demands; however, management is proactively taking steps to secure inventory of this product at levels sufficient to meet anticipated future demands. These steps include, but are not limited to, declining new patients for this particular factor product until the shortage cases, as well as, asking patients who are currently using rVIII to consult with their physicians and consider voluntarity switching to appropriate alternative products on a temporary basis. Under normal circumstances, limited allocations of products from manufacturers greatly impacts the company's ability to expand its customer base, but management believes this current factor shortage is likely to impair the company's ability to grow this segment of its business.

Transplant Services. Coram developed a specific program and is providing therapies and services to pre-and post bone marrow, blood cell and organ transplant patients. This clinically focused care management program includes, among other things, proprietary patient and environmental assessment and monitoring protocols, patient education tools and clinical training programs. The most

common therapy for transplant patients is anti-infective therapy, including antibiotics, anti-viral and anti-fungal agents, most often prescribed intravenously to prevent or treat an infection due to the patient's immuno-compromised status. Other prescribed therapies include TPN, IVIG, biologic response modifiers, immunosuppressive therapies and blood products.

Biotherapy. Corain provides patients with biological response modifiers, colony stimulating factors, crythropoietin and interferons. In addition, Coram provides growth hormone therapies as prescribed by physicians.

Durable Medical Equipment and Respiratory Therapy Equipment. Coram provides durable medical and respiratory therapy equipment to its patients for use at home. Durable medical and respiratory equipment include, but are not limited to, hospital beds, wheelchairs, walkers, oxygen systems, home ventilators, sleep apnea equipment and nebulizers. Conun's integrated service approach allows patients to access infusion therapy or other therapy services and the necessary medical equipment through a single source.

Other Therapies. Corant provides other technologically advanced therapies such as antineoplastic chemotherapy, pain management, intravenous inotropic therapy for patients with congestive heart failure or for those who are awaiting cardiac transplants, intravenous anti-coagulant therapy for prevention of blood clots, and anti-nausea therapy for chemotherapy induced emesis or hyperemesis gravidarum. Hydration therapy is often administered in conjunction with intravenous chemotherapy. Other therapies, as described herein, amounted to less than 5% of the company's infusion therapy net revenue for each of the years ended December 31,

Alternate Site Infusion Therapy: Organization and Operations

General. Coram's alternate site infusion therapy business operations are currently conducted through 76 branches. During the year ended December 31, 2000, the branches were divided into two geographic areas. Each area has a Senior Vice President of Operations who reports directly to the President, and an Area Vice President of Sales who reports to the Senior Vice President of Sales, who reports to the President. Coram's new organizational structure was designed to create greater operating and decision making efficiencies associated with operating and managing the company. Management believes that the functional approach to management has, and will continue to, facilitate high quality local decision making, which allows Coram to attract and retain experienced local managers and be responsive to local market needs. As the company continues to reposition its business and increase its focus on the alternate site infusion therapy business, management continuously reviews operations, focusing on cost effective delivery of quality patient care. For example, Coram established a Hemophilia Services Division and specialty hemophilia distribution centers in Malvern, Pennsylvania, Albuquerque, New Mexico and Sacramento, California. Each center utilizes existing Coram branches and resources and concentrates experienced company clinicians and management on addressing the unique needs of hemophilia patients

Operating Systems and Controls. An important factor in Coram's ability to monitor its operating locations is its management information systems. Besides routine financial reporting, the company has developed a performance model for monitoring the field operations of its infusion business. Actual operating results derived from the management information systems can be compared to the performance model, enabling management to identify opportunities for increased efficiency and productivity. Management believes that the use of standardized, specific performance matrices and the identification and monitoring of best demonstrated practices

Coram endeavors to ensure that its local managers have the appropriate authority and ability to perform effectively by providing training, education, policies and procedures and standardized systems. Coram has designed various management incentive plans that reward performance based on revenue growth, accounts receivable collection, inventory control and contribution of earnings before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA").

Alternate Site Infusion Therapy: Quality Assurance/Performance Improvement

Coram established performance improvement programs for its infusion therapy business that are consistent with its service standards and enable the company to monitor whether the objectives of those standards are met. In 1999, Coram began triennial resurveys conducted by the Joint Commission on the Accreditation of Health Care Organizations ("JCAHO"). As of December 31, 1999, the corporate office and 30 branches, including related satellite locations, successfully completed the triennial survey process. During 2000, an additional 32 branches were successfully resurveyed by the JCAHO. The company expects that the entire triennial resurvey of all locations will be completed in the second quarter of 2001.

An integral part of Coram's quality efforts is the branch team that meets periodically to perform, among other things, the following functions:

- evaluate branch programs, policies and procedures and amend protocols as needed;
- (ii) provide ongoing direction to quality improvement efforts;
- (iii) evaluate patient satisfaction activities and results and analyze any trends, respond as necessary to achieve better outcomes;
- (iv) assist in the development of new programs or procedures to meet recognized needs within the branch or the community that it serves;
- (v) evaluate the branch staff efforts related to professional and clinical issues such as clinical monitoring of patients; and
- (vi) identify and monitor key performance areas of operations and modify as needed.

Further, Coram's Clinical Operations Department assists branch management in assessing the levels of service being provided to patients. Coram's integrated approach to performance improvement is designed to identify both national and regional trends related to high volume, high risk and new activities. It encompasses continuous assessment and measurement of patient satisfaction at both local and national levels and clinical outcomes. It also encompasses the measurement of management's success in achieving the desired operational and fiscal benchmarks that are key to the company's success.

Durable Medical Equipment and Respiratory Therapy Equipment

Coram provides a full line of durable medical and respiratory therapy equipment including, but not limited to, hospital beds, wheelchairs, walkers, oxygen systems, home ventilators, sleep apaca equipment and nebulizers to serve the needs of its home care patients through branches located in San Diego, California; Indianapolis, Indiana; Lenexa, Kansas; New Orleans, Louisiana; Detroit, Michigan and Casper, Wyoming. Coram also provides these services through one of its partnerships which has three locations in Wisconsin. Durable medical and respiratory therapy equipment are available to patients for purchase or rent. The many synergies between the company's durable medical and respiratory therapy equipment product line and its base infusion business benefit both the company and its customers. Coram primarily benefits from the opportunity to provide durable medical and respiratory therapy equipment to patients who are already receiving infusion or other therapy services and patients and payers principally benefit from the opportunity to obtain healthcare services and equipment through a single source.

Clinical Research

Coram has been providing support services for clinical research studies for the alternate site infusion therapy business since 1995. In 1998, the company created a Clinical Research division and began devoting additional resources to, and actively marketing its capabilities in this area. This division is operated through the company's wholly-owned subsidiary, CTI Network, Inc. ("CTI"). Utilizing integrated information systems and Coram's national network of approximately 750 full-time equivalent alternate site infusion nurses and pharmacists, as well as, contracted nurses from non-Coram agencies, CTI can offer its customers the opportunity to complete some of the most challenging aspects of a clinical trial more quickly by:

- (i) providing single source contracting through a central office for national services;
- (ii) assisting in the identification of potential investigators,
- (iii) providing nurse study coordinators at the physician's office;
- (iv) providing alternate site healthcare services such as therapy administration, specimen collection, patient education and training, patient assessments and data collection;
- (v) providing alternate site pharmacy services:
- (vi) providing patient screening and surveying services; and

8

ISP 01807

(vii) providing product acquisition and national distribution services.

CPS: Pharmacy Benefit Management and Specialty Mail-Order Pharmacy Services

On July 31, 2000, the company completed the sale of CPS to Curascript Pharmacy Services, Inc. and Curascript PBM Services. Inc. (collectively, the "Buyers"). The Buyers are newly formed affiliates of GTCR Golder Rauner, L.L.C. and the Buyers are led by certain members of the former CPS management team. See Note 5 to the company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

CPS offered HMO, PPO, at-risk physician groups, self funded employer benefit plans, labor organizations and other managed care customers pharmacy benefit management and specialty mail-order pharmacy services. The pharmacy benefit management services included on-line claims administration, formulary management and drug utilization review through a nationwide network of over 51,000 retail pharmacies. The company generally maintained approximately 60 such arrangements in place for pharmacy benefit management services. CPS's specialty mail-order pharmacy service offered centralized distribution, compliance monitoring, patient education and clinical support to patients with specialized needs. In particular, CPS focused its marketing efforts on patients with organ transplants, HIV/AIDS, growth deficiencies and other chronic conditions. As of July 31, 2000, CPS had approximately 6,200 active patients receiving its specialty mail-order pharmacy services.

The CPS division operated from its centralized facility in Orlando, Florida, which opened in March 1999, replacing its former centralized facility in Ornaha, Nebraska. Both facilities were operational for much of 1999, with the Omaha facility serving a support role as the transition was made to Orlando. The Omaha facility serviced local payer relationships and the Orlando facility served as the primary CPS call center and specialty mail-order pharmacy location. CPS maintained four other satellite pharmacy operations to salisfy specific local customer and payer requirements. One of the satellites functioned as a walk-in retail pharmacy and was located in a large hospital. CPS closed its Plainview, New York satellite pharmacy effective February 2000.

Resource Network Subsidiaries: Ancillary Network Management Services

The Resource Network Subsidiaries offered ancillary network management services to HMOs, PPOs, at-risk physician groups and other managed care organizations for the home health services offered under their benefits plans. As of January 1, 1999, R-Nel was providing its services to its customers' plans that covered approximately 3.5 million lives. Typically, a network of home health service vendors managed by R-Net included providers of home infusion, home nursing, durable medical equipment, respiratory therapy, home hospice, medical supplies, women's health, orthotics, prosthetics and other home health services identified by the customer. Each network provider was contracted with R-Net and received referrals of patients from R-Net. Where appropriate, the company's infusion and CPS divisions participated in the provider networks established by R-Net.

For most of 1999, R-Net operated from its two primary call centers and three satellite offices. The division's call center in Whippany, New Jersey was opened in 1998 for the purpose of replacing the former Totowa, New Jersey call center with a suitable facility for rendering the services required of R-Net under the Master Agreement with Aetna that was signed in April 1998. R-Net also maintained a call center in Houston, Texas. Together, R-Net's primary call centers provided administrative services for the division and management and intake services for several payer customers. The R-Net satellite offices were devoted to serving the members of

The agreements that R-Net had for the provision of ancillary network management services have been terminated and R-Net is no longer providing any ancillary network management services. The Resource Network Subsidiaries are being liquidated pursuant to certain Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceedings that are currently pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. The Chapter 11 proceedings were originally initiated with the filing on August 19, 1999 of an involuntary bankruptcy petition against Coram Resource Network, Inc. in such court. Subsequently, both Resource Network Subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for relief on November 12, 1999. See Item 3. "Legal Proceedings."

All of the R-Net locations have been closed in connection with its pending liquidation. Additionally, all Coram employees who were members of the Resource Network Subsidiaries' Board of Directors resigned during the year ended December 31, 2000, and currently only the Chief Restructuring Officer appointed by the Bankruptcy Court remains on the Board of Directors to manage and operate the liquidation of the R-Net business. Coram classifies the operating losses of this business as discontinued operations in the consolidated financial statements. See Item 3. "Legal Proceedings" and Notes 4 and 13 to the company's Consolidated Financial Statements for more information regarding discontinued operations and the amicable resolution of certain disputes between Aetna, the

Reimbursement of Services

Virtually all of Coram's operating revenue is derived from third-party payers, including private insurers, managed care organizations such as HMOs and PPOs, at-risk physician groups, and governmental payers such as Medicare and Medicaid. Like other medical service providers, Coram experiences lengthy reimbursement periods in certain circumstances as a result of third-party payment procedures. Consequently, management of accounts receivable through effective patient registration, billing, documentation, collection and reimbursement procedures is critical to financial success and continues to be a high priority for management. Coram continues to focus on the processing of clean claims and the careful screening of new cases to determine that adequate reimbursement will be available and will be received in a timely manner.

In certain instances, fixed fee or capitated fee arrangements are utilized. Under a capitated fee arrangement, Coram would agree to deliver or arrange for the delivery of certain home health services required under the payer customer's health plan in exchange for a fixed per member per month service fee. The total per member per month fee is calculated using all members enrolled in the particular health plan as of certain dates. Before establishing the appropriate per member per month fee, Coram typically reviews utilization data provided by the payer customer and/or other available utilization data. In some instances, the per member per month rates will be adjusted or reconciled periodically to reflect actual utilization to prevent excess losses by the company or excess expense outlays by the payer customer. As of December 31, 2000, the infusion therapy division was a party of only four capitated contracts, none of which provided more than 5% of the company's net revenue for any year during the three year period ended December 31, 2000. See Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations: Background."

Reimbursement payments are provided through various sources, such as insurance companies, self-insured employers, patients and the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The Healthcare Pinancing Administration has developed, for use in the Medicare Part B program, a national fee schedule for respiratory therapy, home medical equipment and infusion therapy, which provides reimbursement at 80% of the amount of any fee on the schedule. The remaining 20% co-insurance portion is the obligation of secondary insurance and/or the patient. A substantial amount of the revenue Coram earns under the Medicare program originates from the Part B program. Private indemnity payers typically reimburse at a higher amount for a given service and provide a broader range of benefits than governmental and managed care payers, although net revenue and gross profit from both private and other third-party non-governmental payers have been affected by continuing efforts to contain or reduce reimbursement for healthcare services. An increasing percentage of Coram's net revenue has been derived in recent years from agreements with HMOs, PPOs, managed care providers and other contracted payers. Although these agreements often provide for negotiated reimbursement at reduced rates, they generally result in lower bad debts and provide opportunities to generate greater volume than traditional indemnity referrals.

Laws and regulations governing the Medicare and Medicaid programs are complex and subject to interpretation. Management is aware of certain ongoing audits and reviews with respect to prior reimbursements from Medicare and Medicaid. While management believes that the company is in substantial compliance with all applicable laws and regulations, compliance with such laws and regulations can be subject to future government review and interpretation, as well as, significant regulatory action, including fines, penalties, and exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs. See Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations: Risk Factors."

In December 2000, Coram announced that as part of its continuing efforts to improve efficiency and overall performance, several Patient Financial Service Centers (reimbursement sites) were being consolidated and the related reimbursement positions were to be climinated. By consolidating to fewer sites, management expects to implement improved training, more easily standardize "best demonstrated practices," enhance specialization related to payers such as Medicare and achieve more consistent and timely cash collections. Management does not expect this change to affect Coram's patients or payers, but believes, instead, that in the long-term they will receive better, more consistent service. The transition is expected to be accomplished in stages beginning April 1, 2001 and ending in the third quarter of the same year. Management has taken certain actions to mitigate the potential shortfall in cash collections during the upcoming transition period, including, but not limited to, offering incentives for personnel to stay with the company until the completion of their corresponding regional consolidation. No assurances can be given that the consolidation of the company's Patient Financial Service Centers will be completed by the end of the third quarter of 2001, that the consolidation will be successful in enhancing timely reimbursement or that the company will not experience a significant shortfall in cash collections during or after the transition period. See Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations: Risk Factors."